arXiv:1703.06495v1 [math.NA] 19 Mar 2017

Acceleration of Convergence of Some Infinite Sequences $\{A_n\}$ Whose Asymptotic Expansions Involve Fractional Powers of n

Avram Sidi
Computer Science Department
Technion - Israel Institute of Technology
Haifa 32000, Israel

E-mail: asidi@cs.technion.ac.il
URL: http://www.cs.technion.ac.il/~asidi

January 2017

Abstract

In this paper, we deal with the acceleration of the convergence of infinite series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$, when the terms a_n are in general complex and have asymptotic expansions that can be expressed in the form

$$a_n \sim [\Gamma(n)]^{s/m} \exp[Q(n)] \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} w_i n^{\gamma - i/m} \quad \text{as } n \to \infty,$$

where $\Gamma(z)$ is the gamma function, $m \geq 1$ is an arbitrary integer, $Q(n) = \sum_{i=0}^{m} q_i n^{i/m}$ is a polynomial of degree at most m in $n^{1/m}$, s is an arbitrary integer, and γ is an arbitrary complex number. This can be achieved effectively by applying the $\tilde{d}^{(m)}$ transformation of the author to the sequence $\{A_n\}$ of the partial sums $A_n = \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k$, $n = 1, 2, \ldots$. We give a detailed review of the properties of such series and of the $\tilde{d}^{(m)}$ transformation and the recursive W-algorithm that implements it. We illustrate with several numerical examples of varying nature the remarkable performance of this transformation on both convergent and divergent series. We also show that the $\tilde{d}^{(m)}$ transformation can be used efficiently to accelerate the convergence of some infinite products of the form $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1 + v_n)$, where

$$v_n \sim \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} e_i n^{-t/m - i/m} \quad \text{as } n \to \infty, \ \ t \geq m + 1 \text{ an integer},$$

and illustrate this with numerical examples. We put special emphasis on the issue of numerical stability; we show how to monitor stability, or lack thereof, numerically, and discuss how it can be achieved/improved in suitable ways.

Mathematics Subject Classification 2010: 40A05, 40A25, 40A20, 65B05, 65Bl0.

Keywords and expressions: Acceleration of convergence, extrapolation, infinite series, infinite products, $\tilde{d}^{(m)}$ transformation, W-algorithm.

1 Introduction

The summation of infinite series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$, where the terms a_n are in general complex and have asymptotic expansions (as $n \to \infty$) involving powers of $n^{-1/m}$ for positive integers m, has been of some interest. Due to their complex analytical nature, however, the rigorous study of such series has been the subject of very few works. See Birkhoff [2] and Birkhoff and Trjitzinsky [3]. For a brief summary of these works, see Wimp [22], [23, Section 1.7].

In this work, we deal with those infinite series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$, whether convergent or divergent, for which $\{a_n\}$ belong to a class of sequences denoted $\tilde{\mathbf{b}}^{(m)}$. These series were first studied in detail in Sidi [17, Section 6.7], where an extrapolation method denoted the $\tilde{d}^{(m)}$ transformation to accelerate their convergence (actually, to accelerate the convergence of the sequence $\{A_n\}$ of the partial sums $A_n = \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k$, $n = 1, 2, \ldots$) was also developed. This transformation is very effective also when these series diverge; in such cases, it produces approximations to the antilimits of the series treated. Practically speaking, the sequences $\{a_n\}$ in $\tilde{\mathbf{b}}^{(m)}$ are such the a_n have asymptotic expansions that can be expressed in the form

$$a_n \sim [\Gamma(n)]^{s/m} \exp[Q(n)] \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} w_i n^{\gamma - i/m} \quad \text{as } n \to \infty, \tag{1.1}$$

where $\Gamma(z)$ is the gamma function, $m \geq 1$ is an arbitrary integer, $Q(n)$ is either identically zero or is a polynomial of degree at most $m \geq 1$ in $n^{1/m}$ given as in

$$Q(n) = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \theta_i n^{1-i/m}, \tag{1.2}$$ [1]

s is an arbitrary integer, and γ is an arbitrary complex number.

In the special case of $m = 1$, the class $\tilde{\mathbf{b}}^{(1)}$ is simply the class denoted $\mathbf{b}^{(1)}$. Thus in this case, (1.1) assumes the form

$$a_n \sim [\Gamma(n)]^{s} \zeta^n \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} w_i n^{\gamma - i} \quad \text{as } n \to \infty, \tag{1.3}$$

for some $\zeta \neq 0$. The class $\mathbf{b}^{(1)}$ is the simplest prototype of the collection of sequence classes $\mathbf{b}^{(m)}$, $m = 1, 2, \ldots$, originally introduced in Levin and Sidi [9] and studied extensively in Sidi [17, Chapter 6].[2] In this connection, we mention that the t, u, and w transformations of Levin [8] and the $d^{(1)}$ transformation of Levin and Sidi [9] are very effective convergence acceleration methods. In this work, we shall deal with the class $\tilde{\mathbf{b}}^{(m)}$, $m \geq 1$ being arbitrary. We shall use the notation of [17, Section 6.7] throughout. Comparing (1.1)–(1.2) with (1.3), we realize that sequences in $\tilde{\mathbf{b}}^{(m)}$ with $m \geq 2$ have a richer and more interesting mathematical structure than those in $\tilde{\mathbf{b}}^{(1)} = \mathbf{b}^{(1)}$.

[1] Clearly, $Q(n) \equiv 0$ takes place when $\theta_i = 0$, $i = 0, 1, \ldots, m-1$.

[2] The convergence of infinite series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ with $\{a_n\} \in \mathbf{b}^{(m)}$, m being arbitrary, can be accelerated efficiently by using the $d^{(m)}$ transformation of Levin and Sidi [9], which can be implemented very economically via the recursive $\mathrm{W}^{(m)}$-algorithm of Ford and Sidi [7]. All this is studied in detail also in Sidi [17, Chapters 6 and 7].

The contents of this paper are arranged as follows: In the next section, we summarize the asymptotic properties of sequences $\{a_n\}$ in $\tilde{\mathbf{b}}^{(m)}$ for arbitrary m. In Section 3, (i) we recall the $\tilde{d}^{(m)}$ transformation, (ii) we recall the issue of assessing the numerical stability of the approximations generated by it, (iii) we recall the W-algorithm of Sidi [13] for implementing the $\tilde{d}^{(m)}$ transformation, and (iv) we discuss how the W-algorithm can be extended for assessing in a very simple way the numerical stability of the approximations generated by the $\tilde{d}^{(m)}$ transformation simultaneously with their computation. In Section 4, we illustrate Theorem 2.6, which concerns the asymptotic behavior of the partial sums $A_n = \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k$ as $n \to \infty$, on the basis of which the $\tilde{d}^{(m)}$ transformation is developed, with some instructive examples. In Section 5, we illustrate with numerical examples of varying nature the remarkable effectiveness of the $\tilde{d}^{(m)}$ transformation on the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$, where $\{a_n\} \in \tilde{\mathbf{b}}^{(m)}$, whether these converge or diverge. We also show how the $\tilde{d}^{(m)}$ transformation can be tuned for best numerical results. In Section 6, we consider the use of the $\tilde{d}^{(m)}$ transformation for computing some infinite products $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1+v_n)$, where

$$v_n \sim \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} w_i n^{-t/m-i/m}, \quad t \geq m+1 \ \text{ an integer.} \tag{1.4}$$

We study the asymptotic behavior of the partial products $A_n = \prod_{k=1}^{n}(1+v_k)$ as $n \to \infty$ and conclude that the $\tilde{d}^{(m)}$ transformation can be applied very efficiently to accelerate the convergence of the sequence of the partial products. In Section 7, we give numerical examples that illustrate the efficiency of the $\tilde{d}^{(m)}$ transformation on such infinite products.

Before closing, we would like to emphasize that, presently, there is no numerical experience with the issue of convergence acceleration of the infinite series described above in their most general form, that is, with arbitrary m, s, γ, and $Q(n)$. So far, the acceleration of the convergence of only a subset of such series, for which $s = 0$ and $Q(n) \equiv 0$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ is convergent, has been considered in the literature; thus

$$a_n \sim \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} w_i n^{\gamma-i/m} \quad \text{as } n \to \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \Re\gamma < -1, \tag{1.5}$$

in this subset: Sablonnière [11] has studied the application of (i) the iterated modified Δ^2-process and (ii) the iterated θ_2-algorithm of Brezinski [4], to the cases in which $m = 1, 2$ only. Van Tuyl [20], [21] has studied the application of (i) the iterated modified Δ^2-process, (ii) the iterated transformation of Lubkin [10], (iii) the θ-algorithm of Brezinski [4], (iv) a generalization of the ρ-algorithm of Wynn [24], (v) the u and v transformations of Levin [8], (vi) a generalization of the Neville table, and (vii) the $d^{(m)}$ transformation of Levin and Sidi [9]. The numerical results of [20] show that, with the exception of the u and v transformations, which are effective only when $m = 1$, the rest of the transformations are effective accelerators for all $m \geq 1$. (Note that the iterated θ_2-algorithm and iterated Lubkin transformation are identical.)

The modified Δ^2-process is due to Drummond [6], while the generalized ρ-algorithm and the generalized Neville table are given in [21]. For the Δ^2-process, which is due to Aitken [1], see Stoer and Bulirsch [19, Chapter 5] and Sidi [17, Chapter 15], for example. For brief discussions of the methods mentioned above, see also [17, Chapters 6, 15, 19, 20].

We note that to apply the modified Δ^2-process, the generalized ρ-algorithm, and the generalized Neville table, we need to know γ in (1.5). This is not the case when applying the iterated transformation of Lubkin, the θ-algorithm, the $d^{(m)}$ transformation, and the $\tilde{d}^{(m)}$ transformation.

Finally, we mention that the works [11] and [21] deal only with the convergence issue of the transformations discussed in them; they do not consider the important issue of numerical stability when using finite-precision (floating-point) arithmetic. In our treatment of the $\tilde{d}^{(m)}$ transformation in this work, we emphasize this issue and devise reliable procedures for monitoring the numerical stability of the approximations produced at the time the latter are being computed, and practically at no additional cost. This is an important feature of this work.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 The function class $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_0^{(\gamma,m)}$

We begin with the following definition:

Definition 2.1. *A function $\alpha(x)$ defined for all large x is in the set $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_0^{(\gamma,m)}$, m a positive integer, if it has a Poincaré-type asymptotic expansion of the form*

$$\alpha(x) \sim \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \alpha_i x^{\gamma - i/m} \quad \text{as } x \to \infty. \tag{2.1}$$

In addition, if $\alpha_0 \neq 0$ in (2.1), then $\alpha(x)$ is said to belong to $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_0^{(\gamma,m)}$ strictly. Here γ is complex in general.[3]

Before going on, we state some properties of the sets $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_0^{(\gamma,m)}$ that can be verified easily. We make repeated use of these properties in the sequel.

1. $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_0^{(\gamma,m)} \supset \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_0^{(\gamma-1/m,m)} \supset \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_0^{(\gamma-2/m,m)} \supset \cdots$, so that if $\alpha \in \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_0^{(\gamma,m)}$, then, for any positive integer k, $\alpha \in \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_0^{(\gamma+k/m,m)}$ but not strictly. Conversely, if $\alpha \in \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_0^{(\delta,m)}$ but not strictly, then $\alpha \in \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_0^{(\delta-k/m,m)}$ strictly for a unique positive integer k.

2. If $\alpha \in \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_0^{(\gamma,m)}$ strictly, then $\alpha \notin \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_0^{(\gamma-1/m,m)}$.

3. If $\alpha \in \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_0^{(\gamma,m)}$ strictly, and $\beta(x) = \alpha(cx+d)$ for some arbitrary constants $c > 0$ and d, then $\beta \in \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_0^{(\gamma,m)}$ strictly as well.

4. If $\alpha, \beta \in \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_0^{(\gamma,m)}$, then $\alpha \pm \beta \in \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_0^{(\gamma,m)}$ as well. (This implies that the zero function is included in $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_0^{(\gamma,m)}$.) If $\alpha \in \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_0^{(\gamma,m)}$ and $\beta \in \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_0^{(\gamma+k/m,m)}$ strictly for some positive integer k, then $\alpha \pm \beta \in \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_0^{(\gamma+k/m,m)}$ strictly.

5. If $\alpha \in \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_0^{(\gamma,m)}$ and $\beta \in \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_0^{(\delta,m)}$, then $\alpha\beta \in \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_0^{(\gamma+\delta,m)}$; if, in addition, $\beta \in \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_0^{(\delta,m)}$ strictly, then $\alpha/\beta \in \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_0^{(\gamma-\delta,m)}$.

[3] Clearly, if $\alpha \in \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_0^{(\gamma,m)}$, then $\alpha(x) = x^\gamma \beta(x)$, where $\beta \in \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_0^{(0,m)}$.

6. If $\alpha \in \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_0^{(\gamma,m)}$ strictly, such that $\alpha(x) > 0$ for all large x, and we define $\theta(x) = [\alpha(x)]^\xi$, then $\theta \in \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_0^{(\gamma\xi,m)}$ strictly.

7. If $\alpha \in \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_0^{(\gamma,m)}$ strictly and $\beta \in \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_0^{(k,1)}$ strictly for some positive integer k, such that $\beta(x) > 0$ for all large $x > 0$, and we define $\theta(x) = \alpha(\beta(x))$, then $\theta \in \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_0^{(k\gamma,m)}$ strictly.

8. If $\alpha \in \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_0^{(\gamma,m)}$ (strictly), and $\beta(x) = \alpha(x+d) - \alpha(x)$ for an arbitrary constant $d \neq 0$, then $\beta \in \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_0^{(\gamma-1,m)}$ (strictly) when $\gamma \neq 0$. If $\alpha \in \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_0^{(0,m)}$, then $\beta \in \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_0^{(-1-1/m,m)}$.

Note that if $a_n = \alpha(n)$, $n = 1, 2, \ldots$, where $\alpha \in \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_0^{(\gamma,m)}$, then a_n is as in (1.5). Such sequences are therefore in the class $\tilde{\mathbf{b}}^{(m)}$.

The following theorem summarizes the summation properties of functions in $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_0^{(\gamma,m)}$. It is also useful in proving Theorem 2.4.

Theorem 2.2 (**[17], Theorem 6.6.2**). *Let $g \in \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_0^{(\gamma,m)}$ strictly for some γ with $g(x) \sim \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} g_i x^{\gamma - i/m}$ as $x \to \infty$, and define $G(n) = \sum_{r=1}^{n-1} g(r)$. Then*

$$G(n) = b + c \log n + \tilde{G}(n), \tag{2.2}$$

where b and c are constants and $\tilde{G} \in \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_0^{(\gamma+1,m)}$.

1. *If $\gamma \neq -1$, then $\tilde{G} \in \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_0^{(\gamma+1,m)}$ strictly, while $\tilde{G} \in \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_0^{(-1/m,m)}$ if $\gamma = -1$.*

2. *If $\gamma + 1 \neq i/m$, $i = 0, 1, \ldots$, then $c = 0$, and either (i)b is the limit of $G(n)$ as $n \to \infty$ if $\Re\gamma + 1 < 0$, or (ii)b is the antilimit of $G(n)$ as $n \to \infty$ if $\Re\gamma + 1 \geq 0$.*

3. *If $\gamma + 1 = k/m$ for some integer $k \geq 0$, then $c = g_k$.*

Finally,

$$\tilde{G}(n) = \sum_{\substack{i=0 \\ \gamma - i/m \neq -1}}^{m-1} \frac{g_i}{\gamma - i/m + 1} n^{\gamma - i/m + 1} + O(n^\gamma) \quad \text{as } n \to \infty. \tag{2.3}$$

2.2 The sequence class $\tilde{\mathbf{b}}^{(m)}$

With the classes $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_0^{(\gamma,m)}$ already defined, we now go on to define the sequence class $\tilde{\mathbf{b}}^{(m)}$.

Definition 2.3. *A sequence $\{a_n\}$ belongs to the class $\tilde{\mathbf{b}}^{(m)}$ if it satisfies a linear homogeneous difference equation of first order of the form $a_n = p(n)\Delta a_n$ with $p \in \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_0^{(q/m,m)}$ for some integer $q \leq m$. Here $\Delta a_n = a_{n+1} - a_n$, $n = 1, 2, \ldots$.*

We begin with the following general result:

Theorem 2.4 (**[17], Theorem 6.6.4**). *(i) Let $a_{n+1} = c(n)a_n$ such that $c \in \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_0^{(\mu,m)}$ strictly with μ in general complex. Then a_n is of the form*

$$a_n = [\Gamma(n)]^\mu \exp[Q(n)]\, n^\gamma w(n), \tag{2.4}$$

where $\Gamma(n)$ is the gamma function and $Q(n)$ is a polynomial of degree at most m in $n^{-1/n}$ which we choose to write in the form

$$Q(n) = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \theta_i n^{1-i/m}, \tag{2.5}$$

and

$$w \in \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_0^{(0,m)} \quad \textit{strictly.} \tag{2.6}$$

Given that $c(n) \sim \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} c_i n^{\mu - i/m}$ as $n \to \infty$, with $c_0 \neq 0$, we have

$$e^{\theta_0} = c_0; \quad \theta_i = \frac{\epsilon_i}{1 - i/m}, \quad i = 1, \ldots, m-1; \ \gamma = \epsilon_m, \tag{2.7}$$

where the ϵ_i are determined by $c_0, c_1, \ldots, c_m$ via

$$\sum_{s=1}^{m} \frac{(-1)^{s+1}}{s} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{c_i}{c_0} z^i \right)^s = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \epsilon_i z^i + O(z^{m+1}) \quad \textit{as } z \to 0. \tag{2.8}$$

(Note that $\theta_0 = 0$ when $c_0 = 1$.)

(ii) The converse is also true, that is, if a_n is as in (2.4)–(2.6), then $a_{n+1} = c(n)a_n$ with $c \in \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_0^{(\mu,m)}$ strictly.

(iii) Finally, (a) $\theta_1 = \cdots = \theta_{m-1} = 0$ if and only if $c_1 = \cdots = c_{m-1} = 0$, and (b) $\theta_1 = \cdots = \theta_{r-1} = 0$ and $\theta_r \neq 0$ if and only if $c_1 = \cdots = c_{r-1} = 0$ and $c_r \neq 0$, $r \in \{1, \ldots, m-1\}$.

Remark. Note that we can express (2.4) also in the form

$$a_n = [\Gamma(n)]^{\mu} \exp\left[\hat{Q}(n)\right] n^{\gamma} w(n)\, \zeta^n,$$

where

$$\hat{Q}(n) = \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \theta_i n^{1-i/m}, \quad \zeta = c_0 = e^{\theta_0}.$$

Of course, $\zeta = 1$ when $c_0 = 1$ and $\zeta \neq 1$ when $c_0 \neq 1$.

The next theorem gives necessary and sufficient conditions for a sequence $\{a_n\}$ to be in $\tilde{\mathbf{b}}^{(m)}$. In this sense, it is a characterization theorem for sequences in $\tilde{\mathbf{b}}^{(m)}$. Theorem 2.4 becomes useful in the proof.

Theorem 2.5 ([17], Theorem 6.6.5). *A sequence $\{a_n\}$ is in $\tilde{\mathbf{b}}^{(m)}$ if and only if its members satisfy $a_{n+1} = c(n)a_n$ with $c \in \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_0^{(s/m,m)}$ for an arbitrary integer s and $c(n) \neq 1 + O(n^{-1-1/m})$ as $n \to \infty$. Therefore, a_n is as in (2.4)–(2.6) with $\mu = s/m$, and this implies that $a_n = p(n)\Delta a_n$ with $p \in \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_0^{(\sigma,m)}$ strictly, where $\sigma = q/m$ and q is an integer $\leq m$. With $c(n) \sim \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} c_i n^{s/m-i}$ as $n \to \infty$, $c_0 \neq 0$, we have the following specific cases:*

1. *when* $s = 0$, $c_0 = 1$, $c_1 = \cdots = c_{m-1} = 0$, *and* $c_m \neq 0$, *which holds necessarily, we have* $\sigma = 1$ *or* $q = m$.
   In this case, $a_n = n^\gamma w(n)$ *with* $\gamma = c_m \neq 0$. *Hence* $a_n = h(n)$, $h \in \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_0^{(\gamma,m)}$, $\gamma \neq 0$.

2. *when* $s = 0$, $c_0 = 1$, $c_1 = \cdots = c_{r-1} = 0$, *and* $c_r \neq 0$, $r < m$, *we have* $\sigma = r/m$ *or* $q = r$.
   In this case, $a_n = \exp[Q(n)]n^\gamma w(n)$, $Q(n) = \sum_{i=r}^{m-1} \theta_i n^{1-i/m}$.

3. *when* $s = 0$, $c_0 \neq 1$, *we have* $\sigma = 0$ *or* $q = 0$.
   In this case, $a_n = \exp[Q(n)]n^\gamma w(n)$, $Q(n) = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \theta_i n^{1-i/m}$, $\theta_0 \neq 0$.

4. *when* $s < 0$, *we have* $\sigma = 0$ *or* $q = 0$.
   In this case, $a_n = [\Gamma(n)]^{s/m} \exp[Q(n)]n^\gamma w(n)$, $Q(n) = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \theta_i n^{1-i/m}$.

5. *when* $s > 0$, *we have* $\sigma = -s/m$ *or* $q = -s$.
   In this case, $a_n = [\Gamma(n)]^{s/m} \exp[Q(n)]n^\gamma w(n)$, $Q(n) = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \theta_i n^{1-i/m}$.

We now restrict our attention to the cases described in parts 1–4 of Theorem 2.5, for which the series $\sum_{n=1}^\infty a_n$ (i) either converges (ii) or diverges but has an Abel sum or an Hadamard finite part that serves as the antilimit of $A_n = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k$ as $n \to \infty$. (In part 5, the series $\sum_{n=1}^\infty a_n$ always diverges and has no Abel sum or Hadamard finite part. It may have a Borel sum, however.)

1. In part 1, we assume that $\gamma \neq -1 + i/m$, $i = 0, 1, \ldots$, as in part of Theorem 2.2. We have two cases to consider:
   - If $\Re\gamma < -1$, $\sum_{n=1}^\infty a_n$ converges.
   - If $\Re\gamma \geq -1$, $\sum_{n=1}^\infty a_n$ diverges but has an Hadamard finite part that serves as the antilimit of $A_n = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k$ as $n \to \infty$.

2. In part 2, we assume the following two situations:
   - $\lim_{n\to\infty} \Re Q(n) = -\infty$ or, equivalently, $\Re\theta_r < 0$. In this case, $\sum_{n=1}^\infty a_n$ converges for all γ. [If $\Re\theta_r > 0$, then $\lim_{n\to\infty} \Re Q(n) = +\infty$; therefore, $\sum_{n=1}^\infty a_n$ diverges for all γ.]
   - $\Re Q(n) = 0$ or, equivalently, $\Re\theta_i = 0$, $i = r, \ldots, m-1$.
     - $\sum_{n=1}^\infty a_n$ converges if $\Re\gamma < -r/m$.
     - $\sum_{n=1}^\infty a_n$ diverges if $\Re\gamma \geq -r/m$ but has an Abel sum that serves as the antilimit of $A_n = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k$ as $n \to \infty$.

3. In part 3, as in item 2, we assume the following two situations:
   - $\lim_{n\to\infty} \Re Q(n) = -\infty$ or, equivalently, $\Re\theta_0 < 0$, which is equivalent to $|c_0| < 1$. In this case, $\sum_{n=1}^\infty a_n$ converges for all γ. (If $\Re\theta_0 > 0$, which is equivalent to $|c_0| > 1$, $\sum_{n=1}^\infty a_n$ diverges for all γ.)
   - $\Re Q(n) = 0$ or, equivalently, $\Re\theta_i = 0$, $i = 0, 1, \ldots, m-1$. (Note that we now have $|c_0| = 1$, in addition to $c_0 \neq 1$.) We now have the following cases:
     - $\sum_{n=1}^\infty a_n$ converges if $\Re\gamma < 0$.

– $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ diverges if $\Re\gamma \geq -1$ but has an Abel sum that serves as the antilimit of $A_n = \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k$ as $n \to \infty$.

4. In part 4, we do not assume anything in addition to what is there. In this case, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ converges for all γ.

Remark: Note that in all the cases considered above, we have $\sigma = q/m$, with $q \in \{0, 1, \ldots, m\}$.

Theorem 2.6 that follows concerns the summation properties of sequences $\{a_n\}$ in $\tilde{\mathbf{b}}^{(m)}$. We continue to use the notation of Theorem 2.5 and $A_n = \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k$.

Theorem 2.6 (**[17], Theorem 6.6.6**). *Let $\{a_n\} \in \tilde{\mathbf{b}}^{(m)}$ for which the infinite series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ converges or diverges but has an Abel sum or Hadamard finite part. Then there exist a constant S and a function $g \in \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_0^{(0,m)}$ strictly such that*

$$A_{n-1} = S + n^{\sigma} a_n \, g(n), \tag{2.9}$$

whether $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ converges or not. Here S is the sum of $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ when the latter converges; otherwise, S is the Abel sum or the Hadamard finite part of $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$.

Before ending, we note that Theorem 2.6, whose proof relies on Theorems 2.2, 2.4, and 2.5 and is quite involved, is the most important result that we use in developing the $\tilde{d}^{(m)}$ transformation.

3 The $\tilde{d}^{(m)}$ transformation

3.1 Derivation of the $\tilde{d}^{(m)}$ transformation

Consider now the cases in which Theorem 2.6 applies and (2.9) holds. Being in $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_0^{(0,m)}$ strictly, the function $g(n)$ in (2.9) has the asymptotic expansion

$$g(n) \sim \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} g_i n^{-i/m} \quad \text{as } n \to \infty, \quad g_0 \neq 0. \tag{3.1}$$

Consequently, (2.9) can be expressed as in

$$A_{n-1} \sim S + n^{\sigma} a_n \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} g_i n^{-i/m} \quad \text{as } n \to \infty. \tag{3.2}$$

We now go on to the development of the $\tilde{d}^{(m)}$ transformation : First, we truncate the infinite summation in (3.2) at the term $i = n - 1$, replace the asymptotic equality sign $\sim$ by the equality sign $=$, and replace S by $\tilde{d}_n^{(m,j)}$ and the β_i by $\bar{\beta}_i$. Next, we choose positive integers R_l, $l = 0, 1, \ldots$, that are ordered as in

$$1 \leq R_0 < R_1 < R_2 < \cdots, \tag{3.3}$$

and we set up the $(n+1)\times(n+1)$ system of linear equations

$$A_{R_l-1}=\tilde{d}_n^{(m,j)}+R_l^{\hat{\sigma}}a_{R_l}\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\frac{\bar{\beta}_i}{(R_l+\alpha)^{i/m}},\quad j\le l\le j+n, \tag{3.4}$$

where $\hat{\sigma}=\sigma$ when σ is known or $\hat{\sigma}$ is a known upper bound for σ. (Needless to say, if we know the exact value of σ, especially $\sigma=0$, we should use it. Since $\sigma\le m/m=1$ in all cases, we can always choose $\hat{\sigma}=1$ and be sure that the $\tilde{d}^{(m)}$ transformation will accelerate convergence successfully in all cases.) Here $\alpha>-R_0$ and a good choice in many cases is $\alpha=0$. As can be seen from (3.4), to compute $\tilde{d}_n^{(m,j)}$, we need the first R_{j+n} terms of the infinite series, namely, $a_1,a_2,\dots,a_{R_{j+n}}$.

Note that the unknowns in (3.5) are $\tilde{d}_n^{(m,j)}$ and $\bar{\beta}_0,\bar{\beta}_1,\dots,\bar{\beta}_{n-1}$. Of these, $\tilde{d}_n^{(m,j)}$ is the approximation to S and the $\bar{\beta}_i$ are additional auxiliary unknowns. We call this procedure the $\tilde{d}^{(m)}$ transformation. This transformation is actually a generalized Richardson extrapolation method in the class $\mathrm{GREP}^{(1)}$, which is the simplest prototype of the *generalized Richardson extrapolation procedure* $\mathrm{GREP}^{(m)}$ of the author [12]; see also Sidi [17, Chapters 4–7].

The approximations $\tilde{d}_n^{(m,j)}\equiv A_n^{(j)}$ can be arranged in a two-dimensional array as in Table 3.1. Note that $\tilde{d}_0^{(m,j)}=A_0^{(j)}=A_{R_j-1}$, $j=0,1,\dots$.

$$\begin{array}{cccccc}
A_0^{(0)} & & & & & \\
A_0^{(1)} & A_1^{(0)} & & & & \\
A_0^{(2)} & A_1^{(1)} & A_2^{(0)} & & & \\
A_0^{(3)} & A_1^{(2)} & A_2^{(1)} & A_3^{(0)} & & \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots &
\end{array}$$

Table 3.1:

When $\hat{\sigma}=\hat{q}/m\ge 0$, where $\hat{q}$ is a nonnegative integer, the equations in (3.4) can be replaced by

$$A_{R_l}=\tilde{d}_n^{(m,j)}+R_l^{\hat{\sigma}}a_{R_l}\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\frac{\bar{\beta}_i}{(R_l+\alpha)^{i/m}},\quad j\le l\le j+n, \tag{3.5}$$

the solution for $\tilde{d}_n^{(m,j)}$ remaining the same as in (3.4). This amounts to adding a_{R_l} to both sides of (3.4), and replacing $\bar{\beta}_{\hat{q}}$ by $\bar{\beta}_{\hat{q}}+1$. In our numerical examples, we have taken $\hat{\sigma}=m/m=1$ and used (3.5) to define $\tilde{d}_n^{(m,j)}$. Note that now $A_0^{(j)}=A_{R_j}$, $j=0,1,\dots,$ in Table 3.1. Note also that, with $\hat{\sigma}=1$ in (3.5), we do not need any further information about $Q(n)$ and the parameters s, r, and γ in (1.1); mere knowledge of the fact that $\{a_n\}$ is in $\tilde{\mathbf{b}}^{(m)}$ is sufficient for applying the $\tilde{d}^{(m)}$ transformation successfully.

Looking at how the approximations $A_n^{(j)}$ are placed in Table 3.1, we call the sequences $\{A_n^{(j)}\}_{j=0}^{\infty}$ (with n fixed) *column sequences*. Similarly, we call the sequences $\{A_n^{(j)}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ (with j fixed) *diagonal sequences*. The known theoretical results and numerical experience suggest that diagonal sequences have superior convergence properties and are much better than column sequences when the latter converge. Furthermore,

numerical experience suggests that diagonal sequences converge to some antilimit when the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ diverges. This can be proved rigorously at least in some cases. Normally, we look at the diagonal sequence $\{A_n^{(0)}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$.

As already mentioned, the $\tilde{d}^{(m)}$ transformation is a GREP$^{(1)}$, and the convergence properties of GREP$^{(1)}$ are studied in detail in Sidi [14], [15], [16], and [17, Chapters 8, 9]. Powerful results on the convergence and stability of the $\tilde{d}^{(m)}$ transformation, as it is being applied to the cases in which $\{a_n\} \in \tilde{\mathbf{b}}^{(m)}$, can thus be found in Sidi [17, Chapters 8, 9]:

- For the case $a_n = h(n)$, $h \in \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_0^{(\gamma,m)}$, that is, $s = 0$ and $Q(n) \equiv 0$, (mentioned in [17, Example 8.2.3]), see the theorems in [17, Chapter 8].
- For the cases $a_n = e^{Q(n)}h(n)$ or $a_n = [\Gamma(n)]^{s/m}h(n)$ or $a_n = [\Gamma(n)]^{s/m}e^{Q(n)}h(n)$, $h \in \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_0^{(\gamma,m)}$, (mentioned in [17, Example 9.2.3]), see the theorems in [17, Chapter 9].

Remark. Before closing, we would like to mention that the $\tilde{d}^{(m)}$ transformation can be used for computing the sums of the series $S^{(c)} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k^{(c)}$ and $S^{(s)} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k^{(s)}$ with

$$a_n^{(c)} = [\Gamma(n)]^{s/m}e^{u_1(n)}\cos(u_2(n))h(n) \quad \text{and} \quad a_n^{(s)} = [\Gamma(n)]^{s/m}e^{u_1(n)}\sin(u_2(n))h(n),$$

where $u_1(n)$ and $u_2(n)$ are *real* polynomials of degree at most m in $n^{1/m}$ and $h(n) \in \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_0^{(\gamma,m)}$ is not necessarily real. We apply the $\tilde{d}^{(m)}$ transformation to $S^{(\pm)} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^{(\pm)}$, where

$$a_n^{(\pm)} = [\Gamma(n)]^{s/m}\exp[Q^{(\pm)}(n)]h(n); \quad Q^{(\pm)}(n) = u_1(n) \pm \mathrm{i}u_2(n),$$

since $\{a_n^{(\pm)}\} \in \tilde{\mathbf{b}}^{(m)}$. Clearly,

$$S^{(c)} = \frac{S^{(+)} + S^{(-)}}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad S^{(s)} = \frac{S^{(+)} - S^{(-)}}{2\mathrm{i}}.$$

In case $h(n)$ is real, it is sufficient to apply the $\tilde{d}^{(m)}$ transformation to $S^{(+)}$ only since, in this case,

$$S^{(c)} = \Re S^{(+)} \quad \text{and} \quad S^{(s)} = \Im S^{(+)}.$$

3.2 Assessing the numerical stability of the $\tilde{d}^{(m)}$ transformation

An important issue that is critical at times when computing the approximations $\tilde{d}_n^{(m,j)}$ is that of numerical stability in the presence of finite-precision arithmetic. We show how this can be tackled effectively next.

By Cramer's rule on the linear system in (3.5), $\tilde{d}_n^{(m,j)}$ can be expressed in the form

$$\tilde{d}_n^{(m,j)} = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \gamma_{n,i}^{(j)} A_{R_{j+i}} \equiv A_n^{(j)}, \tag{3.6}$$

with some scalars $\gamma_{n,0}^{(j)}, \gamma_{n,1}^{(j)}, \ldots, \gamma_{n,n}^{(j)}$ that satisfy $\sum_{i=0}^{n} \gamma_{n,i}^{(j)} = 1$. As discussed in [17], the numerical stability of the $A_n^{(j)}$ computed in finite-precision arithmetic can be assessed

reliably as follows: Denote the numerically computed A_i and $A_n^{(j)}$ by $\bar{A}_i$ and $\bar{A}_n^{(j)}$, respectively. Then $\bar{A}_n^{(j)} - S$, the actual numerical error in $\bar{A}_n^{(j)}$, satisfies

$$|\bar{A}_n^{(j)} - S| \leq |\bar{A}_n^{(j)} - A_n^{(j)}| + |A_n^{(j)} - S|. \tag{3.7}$$

The term $|A_n^{(j)} - S|$ is the exact (theoretical) error in $A_n^{(j)}$ and, assuming convergence, it tends to zero as $j \to \infty$ or as $n \to \infty$. The term $|\bar{A}_n^{(j)} - A_n^{(j)}|$, however, remains a positive quantity, meaning that the computational error $|\bar{A}_n^{(j)} - A_n^{(j)}|$ dominates the actual error in $\bar{A}_n^{(j)}$; that is,

$$|\bar{A}_n^{(j)} - S| \approx |\bar{A}_n^{(j)} - A_n^{(j)}| \quad \text{for large } j \text{ or } n. \tag{3.8}$$

We now consider two different but related approaches to the estimation of $|\bar{A}_n^{(j)} - A_n^{(j)}|$, hence to the estimation of the numerical stability:

1. Let us denote the absolute error in the computation of A_i by ϵ_i; thus $\bar{A}_i = A_i + \epsilon_i$. Then, assuming that the computed $\gamma_{n,i}^{(j)}$ are not much different from the exact ones, we have

$$\bar{A}_n^{(j)} \approx \sum_{i=0}^{n} \gamma_{n,i}^{(j)} \bar{A}_{R_{j+i}} = A_n^{(j)} + \sum_{i=0}^{n} \gamma_{n,i}^{(j)} \epsilon_{R_{j+i}} \quad ,$$

from which, we obtain

$$|\bar{A}_n^{(j)} - A_n^{(j)}| \lessapprox \Gamma_n^{(j)} \left(\max_{0 \leq i \leq n} |\epsilon_{R_{j+i}}| \right), \tag{3.9}$$

where

$$\Gamma_n^{(j)} = \sum_{i=0}^{n} |\gamma_{n,i}^{(j)}| \geq 1. \tag{3.10}$$

Consequently, in case of convergence, (3.8) becomes

$$|\bar{A}_n^{(j)} - S| \lessapprox \Gamma_n^{(j)} \left(\max_{0 \leq i \leq n} |\epsilon_{R_{j+i}}| \right), \quad \text{for large } j \text{ or } n. \tag{3.11}$$

If the A_i are computed with machine accuracy and the roundoff unit of the floating-point arithmetic being used is $\mathbf{u}$, then we have $|\epsilon_i| \leq |A_i|\mathbf{u}$. In case the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ converges, we have that the A_i are approximately equal to, or of the same order as, S. Therefore, (3.11) can be replaced by

$$\frac{|\bar{A}_n^{(j)} - S|}{|S|} \lessapprox \Gamma_n^{(j)} \mathbf{u}, \quad \text{for large } j \text{ or } n. \tag{3.12}$$

In such a case, if $\Gamma_n^{(j)}\mathbf{u} = O(10^{-p})$, where p is a positive integer, then the relative error in $\bar{A}_n^{(j)}$ is $O(10^{-p})$, that is, we can rely on p of the significant figures of $\bar{A}_n^{(j)}$ as being correct for j or n large.

Finally,

$$\Gamma_n^{(j)} = 1 \quad \text{if } a_n a_{n+1} < 0, \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots \ . \tag{3.13}$$

2. Let us denote the relative error in the computation of A_i by η_i; thus $\bar{A}_i = A_i(1+\eta_i)$. Then, assuming again that the computed $\gamma_{n,i}^{(j)}$ are not much different from the exact ones, we have

$$\bar{A}_n^{(j)} \approx \sum_{i=0}^{n} \gamma_{n,i}^{(j)} \bar{A}_{R_{j+i}} = A_n^{(j)} + \sum_{i=0}^{n} \gamma_{n,i}^{(j)} A_{R_{j+i}} \eta_{R_{j+i}} \quad ,$$

from which, we obtain

$$|\bar{A}_n^{(j)} - A_n^{(j)}| \lessapprox \Lambda_n^{(j)} \left(\max_{0 \le i \le n} |\eta_{R_{j+i}}| \right), \tag{3.14}$$

where

$$\Lambda_n^{(j)} = \sum_{i=0}^{n} |\gamma_{n,i}^{(j)}| \, |A_{R_{j+i}}|. \tag{3.15}$$

Consequently, in case of convergence, (3.8) becomes

$$|\bar{A}_n^{(j)} - S| \lessapprox \Lambda_n^{(j)} \left(\max_{0 \le i \le n} |\eta_{R_{j+i}}| \right), \quad \text{for large } j \text{ or } n. \tag{3.16}$$

The bound in (3.16) is especially useful when $\{A_n\}$ is a divergent sequence (that is, when $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ diverges) but the antilimit S of $\{A_n\}$ exists and $A_n^{(j)} \to S$ as $j \to \infty$ or $n \to \infty$.[4]

If the A_i are computed with machine accuracy, then we have $|\eta_i| \le \mathbf{u}$, where $\mathbf{u}$ is the roundoff unit of the floating-point arithmetic being used. In such a case, we have

$$|\bar{A}_n^{(j)} - S| \lessapprox \Lambda_n^{(j)} \mathbf{u}, \quad \text{for large } j \text{ or } n. \tag{3.17}$$

If we want to assess the relative error in $\bar{A}_n^{(j)}$, we simply divide the right-hand side of (3.17) by $\bar{A}_n^{(j)}$, obtaining

$$\frac{|\bar{A}_n^{(j)} - S|}{|S|} \lessapprox \frac{\Lambda_n^{(j)}}{|\bar{A}_n^{(j)}|} \mathbf{u}, \quad \text{for large } j \text{ or } n \tag{3.18}$$

as an estimate of the relative error in $\bar{A}_n^{(j)}$. If $|\Lambda_n^{(j)}/\bar{A}_n^{(j)}|\mathbf{u} = O(10^{-p})$ for some positive integer p, then we can conclude that, as an approximation to S, $\bar{A}_n^{(j)}$ has approximately p correct significant figures, close to convergence.

Let us assume that the exact/theoretical diagonal sequence of approximations $\{A_n^{(0)}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is converging to the limit or antilimit of the sequence $\{A_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$. From our discussion above, the following conclusion can be reached concerning the numerically computed diagonal sequence of approximations $\{\bar{A}_n^{(0)}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$: If the corresponding sequences $\{\Gamma_n^{(0)}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ and/or $\{\Lambda_n^{(0)}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ are *increasing* quickly, then the accuracy of $\{\bar{A}_n^{(0)}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is *decreasing* quickly, by (3.12) and/or (3.18). Thus $\bar{A}_n^{(0)}$ may be improving (gaining more and more correct significant digits) for $n = 0, 1, \ldots, N$, for some N, and it deteriorates for $n = N+1, N+2, \ldots$, in the sense that it eventually loses all of

[4] It is clear that (3.12) is useless when $\{A_n\}$ diverges.

its correct significant digits; that is, adding more terms of the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ in the extrapolation process does not help to improve the approximations $\bar{A}_n^{(0)}$. This is how numerical instability exhibits itself.

In subsection 3.4, we shall show how the $\Gamma_n^{(j)}$ and $\Lambda_n^{(j)}$ can be computed recursively and without having to know anything other than the sequence $\{a_n\}$.

3.3 Choice of the R_l

As is obvious from (3.12) and (3.18), the smaller $\Gamma_n^{(j)}$ and/or $\Lambda_n^{(j)}$, the better the numerical stability, hence the accuracy, of the $A_n^{(j)}$. This can be achieved by picking the integers R_l in (3.3) and (3.5) in one of the following two forms:

1. Pick real numbers $\kappa \geq 1$ and $\eta \geq 1$ and set

$$R_l = \lfloor \kappa l + \eta \rfloor, \quad l = 0, 1, \ldots \ . \tag{3.19}$$

   We call this choice of the R_l *arithmetic progression sampling* and denote it by APS for short. Clearly, $\lim_{l\to\infty} R_l/l = \kappa$, which implies that $R_l \sim \kappa l$ as $l \to \infty$, hence $\lim_{l\to\infty} R_l/R_{l-1} = 1$. Note also that

$$\kappa - 1 < R_l - R_{l-1} < \kappa + 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad |(R_l - R_{l-1}) - \kappa| < 1, \quad \forall\ l \geq 0, \tag{3.20}$$

   whether κ is an integer or not. Of course, the simplest APS is one in which $\kappa = 1$ and $\eta = 1$, that is, $R_l = l + 1$, $l = 0, 1, \ldots$.

2. Pick a real number $\tau > 1$ and set

$$R_0 = 1; \quad R_l = \max\{\lfloor \tau R_{l-1} \rfloor, l + 1\}, \quad l = 1, 2, \ldots \ . \tag{3.21}$$

   We call this choice of the R_l *geometric progression sampling* and denote it by GPS for short. In this case, we have (see Sidi [18, Section 3.4])

$$R_l = l+1, \quad l = 0, 1, \ldots, L-1; \quad R_l = \lfloor \tau R_{l-1} \rfloor, \quad l = L, L+1, L+2, \ldots, \tag{3.22}$$

   where

$$L = \left\lceil \frac{2}{\tau - 1} \right\rceil. \tag{3.23}$$

   In addition, $\lim_{l\to\infty} R_l/R_{l-1} = \tau$, which implies that R_l increases as τ^l. Indeed, GPS generates a sequence of integers R_l that satisfy $b_1\tau^l \leq R_l \leq b_2\tau^l$ for some positive constants $b_1 \leq b_2$, hence grow exponentially precisely like τ^l. When τ is an integer ≥ 2, then $R_l = \tau^l$ for all $l \geq 0$. Of course, we do not want R_l to increase very fast as this means that we need a lot of the terms of the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ in applying the $\tilde{d}^{(m)}$ transformation; therefore, we take $1 < \tau < 2$, for example.

Note that the sequence of the integers R_l generated by APS is very closely an arithmetic sequence, while that generated by GPS is very closely a geometric sequence.

In essentially the same form described here, APS (with integer κ and η) and GPS were originally suggested in Ford and Sidi [7, Appendix B]. For a detailed discussion of the subject, see Sidi [17, Chapter 10].

3.4 Recursive implementation via the W-algorithm

The W-algorithm of Sidi [13] and its extensions in [14] and [17, Section 7.2] can be used to implement GREP$^{(1)}$ and study its numerical stability very efficiently. Specifically, the approximations $\tilde{d}_n^{(m,j)}$ [with $\alpha = 0$ in (3.5)] and the $\Gamma_n^{(j)}$ and the $\Lambda_n^{(j)}$, which are the quantities developed for assessing the numerical stability of the $\tilde{d}_n^{(m,j)}$, can be computed very economically, *and without having to determine either the $\bar{\beta}_i$ in* (3.5) *or the $\gamma_{n,i}^{(j)}$ in* (3.6), as follows:

1. For $j = 0, 1, \ldots$, compute

$$M_0^{(j)} = \frac{A_{R_j}}{\omega_{R_j}}, \qquad N_0^{(j)} = \frac{1}{\omega_{R_j}}; \quad \omega_r = r^{\hat{\sigma}} a_r,$$
$$H_0^{(j)} = (-1)^j |N_0^{(j)}|, \qquad K_0^{(j)} = (-1)^j |M_0^{(j)}|.$$

2. For $j = 0, 1, \ldots$, and $n = 1, 2 \ldots$, compute

$$M_n^{(j)} = \frac{M_{n-1}^{(j+1)} - M_{n-1}^{(j)}}{R_{j+n}^{-1/m} - R_j^{-1/m}}, \qquad N_n^{(j)} = \frac{N_{n-1}^{(j+1)} - N_{n-1}^{(j)}}{R_{j+n}^{-1/m} - R_j^{-1/m}},$$
$$H_n^{(j)} = \frac{H_{n-1}^{(j+1)} - H_{n-1}^{(j)}}{R_{j+n}^{-1/m} - R_j^{-1/m}}, \qquad K_n^{(j)} = \frac{K_{n-1}^{(j+1)} - K_{n-1}^{(j)}}{R_{j+n}^{-1/m} - R_j^{-1/m}}.$$

3. For $j = 0, 1, \ldots$, and $n = 1, 2 \ldots$, compute

$$A_n^{(j)} = \frac{M_n^{(j)}}{N_n^{(j)}} \equiv \tilde{d}_n^{(m,j)}, \quad \Gamma_n^{(j)} = \left|\frac{H_n^{(j)}}{N_n^{(j)}}\right|, \quad \Lambda_n^{(j)} = \left|\frac{K_n^{(j)}}{N_n^{(j)}}\right|.$$

Of course, the $M_n^{(j)}$, $N_n^{(j)}$, $H_n^{(j)}$, and $K_n^{(j)}$ can be arranged in separate two-dimensional tables just like the $A_n^{(j)}$ in Table 3.1. For details, see [17, Section 7.2].

Here we have taken $\hat{\sigma} \geq 0$ and used the definition given in (3.5); hence $M_0^{(j)} = A_{R_j}/\omega_{R_j}$. If $\hat{\sigma} < 0$, then we should use the definition given in (3.4); therefore, $M_0^{(j)}$ should now be computed as $M_0^{(j)} = A_{R_j-1}/\omega_{R_j}$.

Note that the input needed for computing $\Gamma_n^{(j)}$ and $\Lambda_n^{(j)}$ is precisely that used to compute $A_n^{(j)}$; nothing else is needed.

4 Illustrative examples for Theorem 2.6

We now verify Theorem 2.6 in the form given in (3.1) with a few examples, to which we will return later in Section 5. The examples we choose are different kinds of telescoping series, both convergent and divergent, in which the limits or the antilimits are identified immediately. In these examples, we have two types of series:

$$\text{type 1:} \quad a_n = \delta_n - \delta_{n-1} \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad A_n = \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k = -\delta_0 + \delta_n, \tag{4.1}$$

$$\text{type 2:} \quad a_n = (-1)^n(\delta_n + \delta_{n-1}) \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad A_n = \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k = -\delta_0 + (-1)^n \delta_n. \tag{4.2}$$

For simplicity, let us now take [see Theorem 2.4]

$$\begin{gathered}\delta_n = (n!)^{s/m} e^{Q(n)}; \quad Q(n) = \theta_0 n + \sum_{i=r}^{m-1} \theta_i n^{1-i/m}, \\ s \ \text{ integer}, \quad \theta_0 \ \text{ real}, \quad \theta_r \neq 0, \quad r \in \{1, \ldots, m-1\}.\end{gathered} \tag{4.3}$$

Clearly,

- when $s = 0$, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ is convergent if $\lim_{n\to\infty} \Re Q(n) = -\infty$ and divergent if $\lim_{n\to\infty} \Re Q(n) = +\infty$,
- when $s < 0$, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ is always convergent, and
- when $s > 0$, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ is always divergent.

4.1 Analysis of a_n

We now analyze, in a unified manner, the asymptotic behavior of a_n as $n \to \infty$, recalling (4.1) and (4.2). By the fact that

$$\delta_n/\delta_{n-1} = n^{s/m} e^{f(n)}; \quad f(n) = Q(n) - Q(n-1) = \Delta Q(n-1), \tag{4.4}$$

we have

$$\text{type 1:} \quad a_n = \delta_{n-1} q(n); \qquad q(n) = \delta_n/\delta_{n-1} - 1 = n^{s/m} e^{f(n)} - 1, \tag{4.5}$$

$$\text{type 2:} \quad a_n = (-1)^n \delta_{n-1} q(n); \qquad q(n) = \delta_n/\delta_{n-1} + 1 = n^{s/m} e^{f(n)} + 1. \tag{4.6}$$

Now, by the binomial theorem, for $n \geq 2$,

$$\Delta(n-1)^p = n^p - (n-1)^p = n^p[1 - (1 - n^{-1})^p] = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{j+1} \binom{p}{j} n^{p-j},$$

from which we have

$$\Delta(n-1)^p = p n^{p-1} - \frac{p(p-1)}{2!} n^{p-2} + O(n^{p-3}) \quad \text{as } n \to \infty.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} f(n) &= \theta_0 + \sum_{i=r}^{m-1} \theta_i \Delta(n-1)^{1-i/m} \\ &= \theta_0 + \sum_{i=r}^{m-1} \theta_i [(1 - i/m) n^{-i/m} + O(n^{-1-i/m})] \quad \text{as } n \to \infty, \\ &= \theta_0 + \sum_{i=r}^{m-1} \theta_i (1 - i/m) n^{-i/m} + O(n^{-1-r/m}) \quad \text{as } n \to \infty, \end{aligned}$$

and this gives

$$f(n) = \theta_0 + \sum_{i=r}^{m-1} \theta_i (1 - i/m) n^{-i/m} + u(n), \quad u(n) \in \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_0^{(-1-r/m, m)} \ \text{ strictly.} \tag{4.7}$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} e^{f(n)} &= c_0 \cdot \exp\Big[\sum_{i=r}^{m-1} \theta_i (1 - i/m) n^{-i/m} + u(n)\Big]; \quad c_0 = e^{\theta_0} > 0, \\ &= c_0[1 + (1 - r/m)n^{-r/m} + v(n)], \quad v(n) \in \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_0^{(-(r+1)/m,m)}, \\ &= c_0[1 + h(n)], \quad h(n) \in \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_0^{(-r/m,m)} \text{ strictly.} \end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

We now make use of this in the analysis of a_n in the two types of series:

1. Type 1: By (4.5) and (4.8), we have $a_n = \delta_{n-1} q(n)$, where

$$q(n) = c_0 n^{s/m}[1 + h(n)] - 1, \quad h(n) \in \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_0^{(-r/m,m)} \text{ strictly.} \tag{4.9}$$

Then we have the following:

$$q(n) = h(n) \in \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_0^{(-r/m,m)} \text{ strictly, when } s = 0 \text{ and } \theta_0 = 0. \tag{4.10}$$

$$q(n) = (c_0 - 1) + c_0 h(n) \in \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_0^{(0,m)} \text{ strictly, when } s = 0 \text{ and } \theta_0 \neq 0. \tag{4.11}$$

$$q(n) \in \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_0^{(s/m,m)} \text{ strictly, when } s > 0. \tag{4.12}$$

$$q(n) \in \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_0^{(0,m)} \text{ strictly, when } s < 0. \tag{4.13}$$

2. Type 2: By (4.6) and (4.8), we have $a_n = (-1)^n \delta_{n-1} q(n)$, where

$$q(n) = c_0 n^{s/m}[1 + h(n)] + 1, \quad h(n) \in \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_0^{(-r/m,m)} \text{ strictly.} \tag{4.14}$$

Then we have the following:

$$q(n) = (c_0 + 1) + c_0 h(n) \in \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_0^{(0,m)} \text{ strictly, when } s = 0. \tag{4.15}$$

$$q(n) \in \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_0^{(s/m,m)} \text{ strictly, when } s > 0. \tag{4.16}$$

$$q(n) \in \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_0^{(0,m)} \text{ strictly, when } s < 0. \tag{4.17}$$

Finally, let us write a_n in the form

$$a_n = \delta_n t(n) \quad \text{for type 1;} \quad a_n = (-1)^n \delta_n t(n) \quad \text{for type 2,} \tag{4.18}$$

where

$$t(n) = \frac{q(n)}{\delta_n / \delta_{n-1}} = n^{-s/m} e^{-f(n)} q(n), \tag{4.19}$$

with the appropriate $q(n)$. Invoking (4.9)–(4.17) in (4.18)-(4.19), we conclude that

$$\text{for type 1:} \quad a_n = (n!)^{s/m} e^{Q(n)} n^{\gamma} w(n), \quad w(n) \in \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_0^{(0,m)} \text{ strictly,} \tag{4.20}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\gamma = -r/m \quad \text{if } s = 0 \text{ and } \theta_0 = 0; \qquad \gamma = 0 \quad \text{if } s = 0 \text{ and } \theta_0 \neq 0; \\ &\gamma = 0 \quad \text{if } s > 0; \qquad \gamma = |s|/m \quad \text{if } s < 0. \end{aligned} \tag{4.21}$$

$$\text{for type 2:} \quad a_n = (n!)^{s/m} e^{\widetilde{Q}(n)} n^{\gamma} w(n), \quad w(n) \in \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_0^{(0,m)} \text{ strictly,} \tag{4.22}$$

$$\gamma = 0 \quad \text{if } s \geq 0; \quad \gamma = |s|/m \quad \text{if } s < 0, \tag{4.23}$$

$$\widetilde{Q}(n) = \mathrm{i}\pi n + Q(n) = (\mathrm{i}\pi + \theta_0) n + \sum_{i=r}^{m-1} \theta_i n^{1 - i/m}. \tag{4.24}$$

Here we have made use of the fact that $(-1)^n = e^{\mathrm{i}\pi n}$.

This completes the asymptotic analysis of a_n in all the different situations. Clearly, $\{a_n\} \in \tilde{\mathbf{b}}^{(m)}$ for all the cases studied.

4.2 Analysis of $A_n = \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k$

We now turn to the asymptotic analysis of $A_{n-1} = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} a_k$. Let us first express (4.1) and (4.2), respectively, as in

$$A_{n-1} = -\delta_0 + \delta_{n-1} \quad \text{for type 1} \tag{4.25}$$

and

$$A_{n-1} = -\delta_0 + (-1)^{n-1}\delta_{n-1} \quad \text{for type 2.} \tag{4.26}$$

Invoking now (i) $\delta_{n-1} = a_n/q(n)$ for type 1 and (ii) $\delta_{n-1} = (-1)^n a_n/q(n)$ for type 2, from (4.5) and (4.6), respectively, and invoking also (4.10)–(4.17), we obtain for both type 1 and type 2 series

$$A_{n-1} = -\delta_0 + n^\sigma a_n g(n), \quad g(n) \in \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_0^{(0,m)} \ \text{ strictly,} \tag{4.27}$$

with σ assuming the following values:
For type 1:

$$\begin{aligned} &\sigma = r/m \quad \text{if } s = 0 \text{ and } \theta_0 = 0; && \sigma = 0 \quad \text{if } s = 0 \text{ and } \theta_0 \neq 0; \\ &\sigma = -s/m \quad \text{if } s > 0; && \sigma = 0 \quad \text{if } s < 0. \end{aligned} \tag{4.28}$$

For type 2:

$$\sigma = 0 \quad \text{if } s = 0; \quad \sigma = -s/m \quad \text{if } s > 0; \quad \sigma = 0 \quad \text{if } s < 0. \tag{4.29}$$

These are clearly consistent with Theorem 2.6 when $\delta_n = (n!)^{s/m} e^{Q(n)}$ with either $s < 0$ or $s = 0$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} \Re Q(n) = -\infty$; in such cases, the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ converge. Of course, Theorem 2.6 does not directly apply to the (strongly) divergent cases for which $s > 0$ or $s = 0$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} \Re Q(n) = +\infty$, but seems to cover them too. It does so in the cases described in (4.1)–(4.3) we have just studied.

We would like to note that, in all the cases considered above, $-\delta_0$ is the sum of the infinite series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ when this series converges, that is, when $\lim_{n\to\infty} A_n$ exists; it seems to be the antilimit of $\{A_n\}$ when $\lim_{n\to\infty} A_n$ does not exist, and numerical experiments confirm this assertion.

5 Numerical examples I

We have applied the $\tilde{d}^{(m)}$ transformation to various infinite series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ with $\{a_n\} \in \tilde{\mathbf{b}}^{(m)}$ for various values of $m \geq 2$ and verified that it is an effective convergence accelerator. In this section, we report some numerical results obtained from series with $m = 2$. Specifically, we treat the telescoping series examples considered in the preceding section for which the limits or antilimits are known. In all cases, we take $Q(n) = \pm\sqrt{n}$. We also treat examples of divergent series for which nothing is known.

As mentioned earlier, we can replace $\hat{\sigma}$ in (3.5) by 1, that is, $\omega_r = r a_r$ in the W-algorithm, and this is what we have done here. This way we do not have to worry about the exact value of σ in (3.1). We also recall that, with $\hat{\sigma} = 1$ in (3.5), we do not need any further information about $Q(n)$ and the parameters s, r, and γ in (1.1);

mere knowledge of the fact that $\{a_n\}$ is in $\tilde{\mathbf{b}}^{(m)}$ is sufficient for applying the $\tilde{d}^{(m)}$ transformation.

We have done all our computations using quadruple-precision arithmetic, for which the roundoff unit is $\mathbf{u} = 1.93\times10^{-34}$. This means that the highest number of significant decimal digits we can have is about 34.

In all the examples, we first try the $\tilde{d}^{(m)}$ transformation with $R_l = l+1$, $l = 0, 1, \ldots$, which is the simplest and most immediate choice for the R_l. As we will see, there are some slow convergence and numerical stability issues with some of these examples when the R_l are chosen this way. We demonstrate that these two issues can be treated simultaneously in an effective way by using APS in some cases and GPS in some others. In addition, it will become obvious from our numerical results that he relative error assessments shown in (3.12) (with $\Gamma_n^{(j)}$) and in (3.18) (with $\Lambda_n^{(j)}$) are very reliable. This clearly demonstrates the relevance and importance of the $\Gamma_n^{(j)}$ and $\Lambda_n^{(j)}$ in assessing the accuracy of the numerical approximations to limits or antilimits. Again, the fact that the $\Gamma_n^{(j)}$ and $\Lambda_n^{(j)}$ can be obtained recursively via the W-algorithm, and simultaneously with the approximations $A_n^{(j)}$, is really surprising.

We have applied APS with

$$\text{(APS):}\quad R_l = \kappa(l+1),\quad l = 0, 1, \ldots;\quad \kappa \geq 1.$$

We have applied GPS with

$$\text{(GPS):}\quad R_0 = 1;\quad R_l = \max\{\lfloor \tau R_{l-1} \rfloor, l+1\},\quad l = 1, 2, \ldots;\quad \tau \in (1, 2).$$

As usual, $A_n = \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k$ and $A_n^{(j)} \equiv \tilde{d}_n^{(m,j)}$, in the tables that accompany the examples. Recall also that R_{j+n} is the number of the terms of the series used for constructing $\tilde{d}_n^{(m,j)}$.

As a rule of thumb, we can reach the following conclusions:

1. If $a_n = h(n) \in \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_0^{(\gamma,m)}$, use GPS.
2. If $a_n = \exp[Q(n)]n^{\gamma}w(n)$, where $Q(n) = \sum_{i=1}^{m-1}\theta_i n^{1-i/m}$ (i.e. $\theta_0 = 0$), and $w(n) \in \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_0^{(0,m)}$, use GPS.
3. If $a_n = \exp[Q(n)]n^{\gamma}w(n)$, where $Q(n) = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1}\theta_i n^{1-i/m}$ (with $\theta_0 \neq 0$), and $w(n) \in \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_0^{(0,m)}$, use APS. (The cases in which $a_n a_{n+1} < 0$ necessarily satisfy $\theta_0 \neq 0$; in these cases APS is effective with $R_l = l+1$, $l = 0, 1, \ldots$.)
4. If $a_n = (n!)^{s/m}\exp[Q(n)]n^{\gamma}w(n)$, where $s \neq 0$, $Q(n) = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1}\theta_i n^{1-i/m}$, and $w(n) \in \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_0^{(0,m)}$, use GPS.
5. In all cases, try $R_l = l+1$, $l = 0, 1, \ldots$, first.

Remarks: Before ending, we would like to re-emphasize the following points:

1. The only assumption we make when applying the $\tilde{d}^{(m)}$ transformation to $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ is that the sequence $\{a_n\}$ is in $\tilde{\mathbf{b}}^{(m)}$ for some m; no further information about the specific parameters in the asymptotic expansion of a_n as $n \to \infty$ is needed or is being used in the computation.

2. The input needed for computing $\Gamma_n^{(j)}$ and $\Lambda_n^{(j)}$ is precisely that used to compute $A_n^{(j)}$; namely, the terms $a_1, \ldots, a_{R_{j+n}}$. Nothing else is needed. Thus all three quantities can be computed simultaneously by the recursive W-algorithm.

Example 5.1. *Let* $a_n = e^{-\sqrt{n}} - e^{-\sqrt{n-1}}$, $n = 1, 2, \ldots$. *The series* $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ *converges with limit* $S = -1$. *Table 5.1a contains results obtained by choosing* $R_l = l + 1$, $l = 0, 1, \ldots$. *In Table 5.1b we present results obtained by choosing the* R_l *using GPS with* $\tau = 1.3$.

n	R_n	$\lvert A_{R_n} - S\rvert$	$\lvert A_n^{(0)} - S\rvert$	$\Gamma_n^{(0)}$	$\Lambda_n^{(0)}$
0	1	$3.68D-01$	$3.68D-01$	$1.00D+00$	$6.32D-01$
4	5	$1.07D-01$	$7.64D-02$	$2.90D+02$	$2.47D+02$
8	9	$4.98D-02$	$4.65D-04$	$3.03D+04$	$2.80D+04$
12	13	$2.72D-02$	$1.17D-06$	$4.58D+06$	$4.37D+06$
16	17	$1.62D-02$	$1.48D-09$	$7.95D+08$	$7.72D+08$
20	21	$1.02D-02$	$1.13D-12$	$1.53D+11$	$1.50D+11$
24	25	$6.74D-03$	$5.82D-16$	$3.19D+13$	$3.14D+13$
28	29	$4.58D-03$	$4.03D-19$	$7.10D+15$	$7.03D+15$
32	33	$3.20D-03$	$2.40D-17$	$1.67D+18$	$1.66D+18$
36	37	$2.28D-03$	$9.81D-15$	$4.13D+20$	$4.11D+20$
40	41	$1.66D-03$	$1.03D-12$	$1.07D+23$	$1.06D+23$

Table 5.1a: Numerical results for Example 5.1 [$a_n = e^{-\sqrt{n}} - e^{-\sqrt{n-1}}$], where the R_l are chosen using APS as $R_l = l + 1$, $l = 0, 1, \ldots$. Note that $S = -1$.

n	R_n	$\lvert A_{R_n} - S\rvert$	$\lvert A_n^{(0)} - S\rvert$	$\Gamma_n^{(0)}$	$\Lambda_n^{(0)}$
0	1	$3.68D-01$	$3.68D-01$	$1.00D+00$	$6.32D-01$
4	5	$1.07D-01$	$7.64D-02$	$2.90D+02$	$2.47D+02$
8	11	$3.63D-02$	$3.27D-04$	$8.41D+03$	$7.72D+03$
12	29	$4.58D-03$	$7.32D-08$	$4.26D+03$	$4.10D+03$
16	80	$1.30D-04$	$4.43D-13$	$3.75D+02$	$3.73D+02$
20	227	$2.86D-07$	$3.11D-20$	$1.80D+01$	$1.79D+01$
24	646	$9.16D-12$	$6.18D-30$	$2.25D+00$	$2.25D+00$
28	1842	$2.29D-19$	$0.00D+00$	$1.10D+00$	$1.10D+00$
32	5258	$3.43D-32$	$1.64D-33$	$1.00D+00$	$1.00D+00$

Table 5.1b: Numerical results for Example 5.1 [$a_n = e^{-\sqrt{n}} - e^{-\sqrt{n-1}}$], where the R_l are chosen using GPS with $\tau = 1.3$. Note that $S = -1$.

Example 5.2. *Let* $a_n = (-1)^n(e^{-\sqrt{n}} + e^{-\sqrt{n-1}})$, $n = 1, 2, \ldots$. *The series* $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ *converges with limit* $S = -1$. *Table 5.2 contains results obtained by choosing* $R_l = l+1$, $l = 0, 1, \ldots$. *Note that* $\Gamma_n^{(0)} = 1$ *in accordance with* (3.13).

n	R_n	$\lvert A_{R_n} - S\rvert$	$\lvert A_n^{(0)} - S\rvert$	$\Gamma_n^{(0)}$	$\Lambda_n^{(0)}$
0	1	$3.68D-01$	$3.68D-01$	$1.00D+00$	$1.37D+00$
4	5	$1.07D-01$	$4.16D-04$	$1.00D+00$	$1.00D+00$
8	9	$4.98D-02$	$3.33D-08$	$1.00D+00$	$1.00D+00$
12	13	$2.72D-02$	$6.71D-13$	$1.00D+00$	$1.00D+00$
16	17	$1.62D-02$	$5.61D-18$	$1.00D+00$	$1.00D+00$
20	21	$1.02D-02$	$2.48D-23$	$1.00D+00$	$1.00D+00$
24	25	$6.74D-03$	$6.72D-29$	$1.00D+00$	$1.00D+00$
28	29	$4.58D-03$	$4.81D-34$	$1.00D+00$	$1.00D+00$
32	33	$3.20D-03$	$3.85D-34$	$1.00D+00$	$1.00D+00$

Table 5.2: Numerical results for Example 5.2 [$a_n = (-1)^n(e^{-\sqrt{n}} + e^{-\sqrt{n-1}})$], using $R_l = l + 1$, $l = 0, 1, \ldots$. Note that $S = -1$.

Example 5.3. *Let* $a_n = e^{\sqrt{n}} - e^{\sqrt{n-1}}$, $n = 1, 2, \ldots$. *The series* $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ *diverges with apparent antilimit* $S = -1$. *Table 5.3a contains results obtained by choosing* $R_l = l+1$, $l = 0, 1, \ldots$. *In Table 5.3b we present results obtained by choosing the* R_l *using GPS with* $\tau = 1.3$.

n	R_n	$\lvert A_{R_n} - S\rvert$	$\lvert A_n^{(0)} - S\rvert$	$\Gamma_n^{(0)}$	$\Lambda_n^{(0)}$
0	1	$2.72D+00$	$2.72D+00$	$1.00D+00$	$1.72D+00$
4	5	$9.36D+00$	$2.60D+00$	$3.13D+02$	$1.65D+03$
8	9	$2.01D+01$	$2.10D+00$	$1.03D+06$	$1.17D+07$
12	13	$3.68D+01$	$5.08D-01$	$5.04D+09$	$1.01D+11$
16	17	$6.18D+01$	$8.73D-03$	$4.72D+12$	$1.51D+14$
20	21	$9.78D+01$	$1.64D-04$	$9.85D+15$	$4.75D+17$
24	25	$1.48D+02$	$3.52D-06$	$4.11D+19$	$2.86D+21$
28	29	$2.18D+02$	$4.14D-09$	$1.23D+22$	$1.20D+24$
32	33	$3.12D+02$	$1.67D-06$	$2.04D+25$	$2.73D+27$
36	37	$4.38D+02$	$2.14D-03$	$8.15D+28$	$1.46D+31$
40	41	$6.04D+02$	$1.52D+01$	$2.76D+31$	$6.56D+33$

Table 5.3a: Numerical results for Example 5.3 $[a_n = e^{\sqrt{n}} - e^{\sqrt{n-1}}]$, using $R_l = l + 1$, $l = 0, 1, \ldots$. Note that $S = -1$.

n	R_n	$\lvert A_{R_n} - S\rvert$	$\lvert A_n^{(0)} - S\rvert$	$\Gamma_n^{(0)}$	$\Lambda_n^{(0)}$
0	1	$2.72D+00$	$2.72D+00$	$1.00D+00$	$1.72D+00$
4	5	$9.36D+00$	$2.60D+00$	$3.13D+02$	$1.65D+03$
8	11	$2.76D+01$	$2.09D+00$	$4.93D+05$	$5.04D+06$
12	29	$2.18D+02$	$3.33D-01$	$4.81D+07$	$8.42D+08$
16	80	$7.66D+03$	$8.33D-04$	$7.10D+07$	$4.11D+09$
20	227	$3.49D+06$	$9.80D-08$	$1.18D+07$	$4.57D+09$
24	646	$1.09D+11$	$1.16D-11$	$5.10D+06$	$3.60D+10$
28	1842	$4.36D+18$	$3.04D-16$	$1.23D+06$	$5.38D+11$
32	5258	$3.10D+31$	$2.83D-19$	$2.73D+05$	$2.55D+13$

Table 5.3b: Numerical results for Example 5.3 $[a_n = e^{\sqrt{n}} - e^{\sqrt{n-1}}]$, where the R_l are chosen using GPS with $\tau = 1.3$. Note that $S = -1$.

Example 5.4. *Let* $a_n = (-1)^n(e^{\sqrt{n}} + e^{\sqrt{n-1}})$, $n = 1, 2, \ldots$. *The series* $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ *diverges with apparent antilimit* $S = -1$. *Table 5.4 contains results obtained by choosing* $R_l = l + 1$, $l = 0, 1, \ldots$. *Note that* $\Gamma_n^{(0)} = 1$ *in accordance with* (3.13).

n	R_n	$\lvert A_{R_n} - S\rvert$	$\lvert A_n^{(0)} - S\rvert$	$\Gamma_n^{(0)}$	$\Lambda_n^{(0)}$
0	1	$2.72D+00$	$2.72D+00$	$1.00D+00$	$3.72D+00$
4	5	$9.36D+00$	$1.17D-02$	$1.00D+00$	$5.94D+00$
8	9	$2.01D+01$	$4.17D-06$	$1.00D+00$	$1.20D+01$
12	13	$3.68D+01$	$2.55D-10$	$1.00D+00$	$2.06D+01$
16	17	$6.18D+01$	$5.40D-15$	$1.00D+00$	$3.24D+01$
20	21	$9.78D+01$	$5.43D-20$	$1.00D+00$	$4.85D+01$
24	25	$1.48D+02$	$3.07D-25$	$1.00D+00$	$6.98D+01$
28	29	$2.18D+02$	$1.10D-30$	$1.00D+00$	$9.76D+01$
32	33	$3.12D+02$	$3.85D-33$	$1.00D+00$	$1.33D+02$

Table 5.4: Numerical results for Example 5.4 $[a_n = (-1)^n(e^{\sqrt{n}} + e^{\sqrt{n-1}})]$, using $R_l = l + 1$, $l = 0, 1, \ldots$. Note that $S = -1$.

Example 5.5. *Let* $a_n = e^{\sqrt{n}}$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$. *The series* $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ *diverges, possibly with an antilimit* S *that is not known. Table 5.5a contains results obtained by choosing* $R_l = l + 1$, $l = 0, 1, \dots$. *In Table 5.5b we present results obtained by choosing the* R_l *using GPS with* $\tau = 1.3$.

n	R_n	A_{R_n}	$A_n^{(0)}$	$\Gamma_n^{(0)}$	$\Lambda_n^{(0)}$
0	1	$2.72D+00$	$2.71828182845904523536028747135266231D+00$	$1.00D+00$	$2.72D+00$
4	5	$2.92D+01$	$1.25526985654591147597524366280725429D+00$	$1.36D+03$	$1.95D+04$
8	9	$9.19D+01$	$1.24677273910725248869144831373621801D+00$	$3.82D+06$	$1.69D+08$
12	13	$2.12D+02$	$1.24627554920630442266529384719068750D+00$	$1.07D+10$	$1.03D+12$
16	17	$4.18D+02$	$1.24628333168062508590422433878661035D+00$	$5.25D+13$	$9.49D+15$
20	21	$7.52D+02$	$1.24628299284466085557931505666022122D+00$	$1.41D+16$	$4.35D+18$
24	25	$1.26D+03$	$1.24628299466999557367176182613152417D+00$	$1.73D+19$	$8.56D+21$
28	29	$2.03D+03$	$1.24628299198356711403961152832133262D+00$	$9.02D+22$	$6.81D+25$
32	33	$3.12D+03$	$1.24628324241958617654595744535696746D+00$	$4.54D+25$	$5.06D+28$

Table 5.5a: Numerical results for Example 5.5 [$a_n = e^{\sqrt{n}}$], using $R_l = l+1$, $l = 0, 1, \dots$. Note that the antilimit is not known.

n	R_n	A_{R_n}	$A_n^{(0)}$	$\Gamma_n^{(0)}$	$\Lambda_n^{(0)}$
0	1	$2.72D+00$	$2.71828182845904523536028747135266231D+00$	$1.00D+00$	$2.72D+00$
4	5	$2.92D+01$	$1.25526985654591147597524366280725429D+00$	$1.36D+03$	$1.95D+04$
8	11	$1.43D+02$	$1.24671505638378127944673235454440382D+00$	$1.57D+06$	$5.94D+07$
12	29	$2.03D+03$	$1.24628218609008659057856308239992091D+00$	$2.73D+07$	$2.12D+09$
16	80	$1.26D+05$	$1.24628298810418632879233471192843094D+00$	$3.94D+07$	$1.36D+10$
20	227	$1.00D+08$	$1.24628299465099003773467908406255098D+00$	$1.53D+08$	$5.13D+11$
24	646	$5.39D+12$	$1.24628299466148082969324108233096056D+00$	$7.47D+06$	$6.44D+11$
28	1842	$3.68D+20$	$1.24628299466148185365143315380862845D+00$	$1.79D+06$	$1.31D+13$
32	5258	$4.45D+33$	$1.24628299466148185195182683756923951D+00$	$1.84D+06$	$3.78D+15$

Table 5.5b: Numerical results for Example 5.5 [$a_n = e^{\sqrt{n}}$], where the R_l are chosen using GPS with $\tau = 1.3$. Note that the antilimit is not known.

Example 5.6. *Let* $a_n = (-1)^n e^{\sqrt{n}}$, $n = 1, 2, \ldots$. *The series* $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ *diverges, possibly with an antilimit* S *that is not known. Table 5.6 contains results obtained by choosing* $R_l = l + 1$, $l = 0, 1, \ldots$. *Note that* $\Gamma_n^{(0)} = 1$ *in accordance with* (3.13).

n	R_n	A_{R_n}	$A_n^{(0)}$	$\Gamma_n^{(0)}$	$\Lambda_n^{(0)}$
0	1	$-2.72D+00$	$-2.71828182845904523536028747135266231D+00$	$1.00D+00$	$2.72D+00$
4	5	$-6.22D+00$	$-1.02389938149412728674621319253264616D+00$	$1.00D+00$	$3.42D+00$
8	9	$-1.19D+01$	$-1.02396073254025925488406911517938308D+00$	$1.00D+00$	$6.63D+00$
12	13	$-2.07D+01$	$-1.02396073204910424017949474942021129D+00$	$1.00D+00$	$1.12D+01$
16	17	$-3.38D+01$	$-1.02396073204906060742047437248544015D+00$	$1.00D+00$	$1.74D+01$
20	21	$-5.26D+01$	$-1.02396073204906060526543006429244242D+00$	$1.00D+00$	$2.58D+01$
24	25	$-7.89D+01$	$-1.02396073204906060526534757271439465D+00$	$1.00D+00$	$3.70D+01$
28	29	$-1.15D+02$	$-1.02396073204906060526534757003586791D+00$	$1.00D+00$	$5.15D+01$
32	33	$-1.64D+02$	$-1.02396073204906060526534757003580917D+00$	$1.00D+00$	$7.01D+01$

Table 5.6: Numerical results for Example 5.6 [$a_n = (-1)^n e^{\sqrt{n}}$], using $R_l = l + 1$, $l = 0, 1, \ldots$. Note that the antilimit is not known.

Example 5.7. *Let* $a_n = e^{-0.2n+\sqrt{n}} - e^{-0.2(n-1)+\sqrt{n-1}}$, $n = 1, 2, \ldots$. *The series* $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ *converges with limit* $S = -1$. *Table 5.7a contains results obtained by choosing* $R_l = l + 1$, $l = 0, 1, \ldots$. *In Table 5.7b we present results obtained by choosing the* R_l *using APS with* $\kappa = \eta = 5$, *thus* $R_l = 5(l + 1)$, $l = 0, 1, \ldots$.

n	R_n	$\lvert A_{R_n} - S\rvert$	$\lvert A_n^{(0)} - S\rvert$	$\Gamma_n^{(0)}$	$\Lambda_n^{(0)}$
0	1	$2.23D+00$	$2.23D+00$	$1.00D+00$	$1.23D+00$
8	9	$3.32D+00$	$3.48D+00$	$1.31D+00$	$3.24D+00$
16	17	$2.06D+00$	$3.48D+00$	$9.01D+01$	$1.69D+02$
24	25	$1.00D+00$	$3.48D+00$	$1.21D+07$	$1.02D+07$
32	33	$4.25D-01$	$3.45D+00$	$4.43D+13$	$8.27D+12$
40	41	$1.66D-01$	$2.46D-02$	$8.99D+18$	$3.96D+18$
48	49	$6.08D-02$	$3.83D-07$	$1.74D+22$	$1.25D+22$
56	57	$2.13D-02$	$5.44D-10$	$3.32D+25$	$2.87D+25$
64	65	$7.17D-03$	$9.33D-06$	$6.27D+28$	$5.87D+28$

Table 5.7a: Numerical results for Example 5.7 [$a_n = e^{-0.2n+\sqrt{n}} - e^{-0.2(n-1)+\sqrt{n-1}}$], using $R_l = l + 1$, $l = 0, 1, \ldots$. Note that $S = -1$.

n	R_n	$\lvert A_{R_n} - S\rvert$	$\lvert A_n^{(0)} - S\rvert$	$\Gamma_n^{(0)}$	$\Lambda_n^{(0)}$
0	5	$3.44D+00$	$3.44D+00$	$1.00D+00$	$2.44D+00$
4	25	$1.00D+00$	$4.08D+00$	$1.47D+01$	$1.54D+01$
8	45	$1.01D-01$	$1.47D-02$	$2.50D+02$	$1.59D+02$
12	65	$7.17D-03$	$1.01D-06$	$1.03D+03$	$9.79D+02$
16	85	$4.18D-04$	$1.91D-11$	$4.53D+03$	$4.50D+03$
20	105	$2.14D-05$	$1.48D-16$	$2.00D+04$	$2.00D+04$
24	125	$9.96D-07$	$5.84D-22$	$8.86D+04$	$8.86D+04$
28	145	$4.32D-08$	$1.34D-27$	$3.90D+05$	$3.90D+05$
32	165	$1.77D-09$	$5.29D-29$	$1.71D+06$	$1.71D+06$

Table 5.7b: Numerical results for Example 5.7 [$a_n = e^{-0.2n+\sqrt{n}} - e^{-0.2(n-1)+\sqrt{n-1}}$], where the R_l are chosen using APS with $\kappa = \eta = 5$; that is, $R_l = 5(l+1)$, $l = 0, 1, \ldots$. Note that $S = -1$.

Example 5.8. *Let* $a_n = (-1)^n(e^{-0.2n+\sqrt{n}} + e^{-0.2(n-1)+\sqrt{n-1}})$, $n = 1, 2, \ldots$. *The series* $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ *converges with limit* $S = -1$. *Table 5.8 contains results obtained by choosing* $R_l = l + 1$, $l = 0, 1, \ldots$. *Note that* $\Gamma_n^{(0)} = 1$ *in accordance with* (3.13).

n	R_n	$\lvert A_{R_n} - S\rvert$	$\lvert A_n^{(0)} - S\rvert$	$\Gamma_n^{(0)}$	$\Lambda_n^{(0)}$
0	1	$2.23D+00$	$2.23D+00$	$1.00D+00$	$3.23D+00$
4	5	$3.44D+00$	$7.30D-03$	$1.00D+00$	$3.12D+00$
8	9	$3.32D+00$	$1.43D-06$	$1.00D+00$	$3.45D+00$
12	13	$2.73D+00$	$4.81D-11$	$1.00D+00$	$3.24D+00$
16	17	$2.06D+00$	$5.60D-16$	$1.00D+00$	$2.80D+00$
20	21	$1.47D+00$	$3.09D-21$	$1.00D+00$	$2.30D+00$
24	25	$1.00D+00$	$9.60D-27$	$1.00D+00$	$1.82D+00$
28	29	$6.60D-01$	$2.06D-32$	$1.00D+00$	$1.40D+00$
32	33	$4.25D-01$	$2.12D-33$	$1.00D+00$	$1.12D+00$

Table 5.8: Numerical results for Example 5.8 [$a_n = (-1)^n(e^{-0.2n+\sqrt{n}} + e^{-0.2(n-1)+\sqrt{n-1}})$], using $R_l = l + 1$, $l = 0, 1, \ldots$. Note that $S = -1$.

Example 5.9. *Let* $a_n = e^{-0.2n+\sqrt{n}}$, $n = 1, 2, \ldots$. *The series* $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ *converges to a limit* S *that is not known. Table 5.9a contains results obtained by choosing* $R_l = l + 1$, $l = 0, 1, \ldots$. *In Table 5.9b we present results obtained by choosing the* R_l *using APS with* $\kappa = \eta = 5$, *thus* $R_l = 5(l + 1)$, $l = 0, 1, \ldots$.

n	R_n	A_{R_n}	$A_n^{(0)}$	$\Gamma_n^{(0)}$	$\Lambda_n^{(0)}$
0	1	$2.23D+00$	$2.22554092849246760457953753139507683D+00$	$1.00D+00$	$2.23D+00$
8	9	$2.85D+01$	$6.91041025116709498367466955167170379D+01$	$3.07D+05$	$6.07D+06$
16	17	$4.97D+01$	$6.94975935915328024186339724785494270D+01$	$6.45D+08$	$2.51D+10$
24	25	$6.11D+01$	$6.94975997601623491994988589397430127D+01$	$1.42D+12$	$7.43D+13$
32	33	$6.62D+01$	$6.94975997602064996307484910836876701D+01$	$3.03D+15$	$1.83D+17$
40	41	$6.83D+01$	$6.94975997602064916366549830540673670D+01$	$6.29D+18$	$4.09D+20$
48	49	$6.91D+01$	$6.94975997601806743910266253659572031D+01$	$1.27D+22$	$8.57D+23$
56	57	$6.94D+01$	$6.94975997308245777099536081393349112D+01$	$2.52D+25$	$1.72D+27$
64	65	$6.95D+01$	$6.94975821438721079747682396283208104D+01$	$4.89D+28$	$3.37D+30$

Table 5.9a: Numerical results for Example 5.9 [$a_n = e^{-0.2n+\sqrt{n}}$], using $R_l = l + 1$, $l = 0, 1, \ldots$. Note that the limit is not known.

n	R_n	A_{R_n}	$A_n^{(0)}$	$\Gamma_n^{(0)}$	$\Lambda_n^{(0)}$
0	5	$1.48D+01$	$1.48469162378884426206684133887622417D+01$	$1.00D+00$	$1.48D+01$
4	25	$6.11D+01$	$6.96296394954322519778004220754137383D+01$	$5.01D+01$	$2.57D+03$
8	45	$6.88D+01$	$6.94976035518697374035719835887475438D+01$	$2.02D+02$	$1.34D+04$
12	65	$6.95D+01$	$6.94975997601454883646767731022889718D+01$	$9.03D+02$	$6.25D+04$
16	85	$6.95D+01$	$6.94975997602064989300261396945842564D+01$	$4.09D+03$	$2.84D+05$
20	105	$6.95D+01$	$6.94975997602064988540120840350043551D+01$	$1.84D+04$	$1.28D+06$
24	125	$6.95D+01$	$6.94975997602064988540031066443388019D+01$	$8.24D+04$	$5.72D+06$
28	145	$6.95D+01$	$6.94975997602064988540031067912671900D+01$	$3.66D+05$	$2.54D+07$
32	165	$6.95D+01$	$6.94975997602064988540031067892774609D+01$	$1.62D+06$	$1.12D+08$

Table 5.9b: Numerical results for Example 5.7 [$a_n = e^{-0.2n+\sqrt{n}}$], where the R_l are chosen using APS with $\kappa = \eta = 5$; that is, $R_l = 5(l + 1)$, $l = 0, 1, \ldots$. Note that the limit is not known.

Example 5.10. *Let* $a_n = (-1)^n e^{0.2n-\sqrt{n}}$, $n = 1, 2, \ldots$. *The series* $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ *diverges, possibly with an antilimit* S *that is not known. Table 5.10 contains results obtained by choosing* $R_l = l + 1$, $l = 0, 1, \ldots$. *Note that* $\Gamma_n^{(0)} = 1$ *in accordance with* (3.13).

n	R_n	A_{R_n}	$A_n^{(0)}$	$\Gamma_n^{(0)}$	$\Lambda_n^{(0)}$
0	1	$-4.49D-01$	$-4.49328964117221591430102385015562784D-01$	$1.00D+00$	$4.49D-01$
4	5	$-3.98D-01$	$-2.54755240466624695829767367307432419D-01$	$1.00D+00$	$2.55D-01$
8	9	$-4.08D-01$	$-2.54747573868605037684471045364090490D-01$	$1.00D+00$	$2.55D-01$
12	13	$-4.43D-01$	$-2.54747573734873382943870173850446560D-01$	$1.00D+00$	$2.55D-01$
16	17	$-5.07D-01$	$-2.54747573734869455944444615389169251D-01$	$1.00D+00$	$2.55D-01$
20	21	$-6.11D-01$	$-2.54747573734869455818166677569691476D-01$	$1.00D+00$	$2.58D-01$
24	25	$-7.80D-01$	$-2.54747573734869455818162487122226260D-01$	$1.00D+00$	$2.88D-01$
28	29	$-1.05D+00$	$-2.54747573734869455818162486982736643D-01$	$1.00D+00$	$3.62D-01$
32	33	$-1.50D+00$	$-2.54747573734869455818162486982731683D-01$	$1.00D+00$	$4.78D-01$
36	37	$-2.23D+00$	$-2.54747573734869455818162486982731443D-01$	$1.00D+00$	$6.44D-01$
40	41	$-3.45D+00$	$-2.54747573734869455818162486982731587D-01$	$1.00D+00$	$8.81D-01$

Table 5.10: Numerical results for Example 5.10 $[a_n = (-1)^n e^{0.2n-\sqrt{n}}]$, using $R_l = l+1$, $l = 0, 1, \ldots$. Note that the antilimit is not known.

Example 5.11. *Let* $a_n = \sqrt{n!}e^{-\sqrt{n}} - \sqrt{(n-1)!}e^{-\sqrt{n-1}}$, $n = 1, 2, \ldots$. *The series* $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ *diverges with apparent antilimit* $S = -1$. *Table 5.11a contains results obtained by choosing* $R_l = l + 1$, $l = 0, 1, \ldots$. *In Table 5.11b we present results obtained by choosing the* R_l *using GPS with* $\tau = 1.1$.

n	R_n	$\lvert A_{R_n} - S\rvert$	$\lvert A_n^{(0)} - S\rvert$	$\Gamma_n^{(0)}$	$\Lambda_n^{(0)}$
0	1	$3.68D-01$	$3.68D-01$	$1.00D+00$	$6.32D-01$
4	5	$1.17D+00$	$3.51D-01$	$1.36D+00$	$7.80D-01$
8	9	$3.00D+01$	$3.49D-01$	$2.69D+01$	$3.27D+01$
12	13	$2.14D+03$	$3.19D-01$	$4.62D+03$	$7.39D+04$
16	17	$3.05D+05$	$7.75D-01$	$5.67D+06$	$1.17D+09$
20	21	$7.31D+07$	$7.03D-04$	$4.73D+06$	$1.58D+10$
24	25	$2.65D+10$	$4.63D-06$	$4.41D+07$	$2.91D+12$
28	29	$1.36D+13$	$3.89D-07$	$7.38D+09$	$1.14D+16$
32	33	$9.43D+15$	$9.10D-11$	$4.51D+09$	$1.89D+17$
36	37	$8.47D+18$	$4.21D-13$	$6.94D+10$	$8.89D+19$
40	41	$9.58D+21$	$1.53D-12$	$4.90D+11$	$2.14D+22$

Table 5.11a: Numerical results for Example 5.11 [$a_n = \sqrt{n!}e^{-\sqrt{n}} - \sqrt{(n-1)!}e^{-\sqrt{n-1}}$], using $R_l = l + 1$, $l = 0, 1, \ldots$. Note that $S = -1$.

n	R_n	$\lvert A_{R_n} - S\rvert$	$\lvert A_n^{(0)} - S\rvert$	$\Gamma_n^{(0)}$	$\Lambda_n^{(0)}$
0	1	$3.68D-01$	$3.68D-01$	$1.00D+00$	$6.32D-01$
4	5	$1.17D+00$	$3.51D-01$	$1.36D+00$	$7.80D-01$
8	9	$3.00D+01$	$3.49D-01$	$2.69D+01$	$3.27D+01$
12	13	$2.14D+03$	$3.19D-01$	$4.62D+03$	$7.39D+04$
16	17	$3.05D+05$	$7.75D-01$	$5.67D+06$	$1.17D+09$
20	22	$3.08D+08$	$6.83D-04$	$4.35D+06$	$1.34D+10$
24	30	$6.81D+13$	$6.10D-06$	$2.88D+07$	$7.03D+11$
28	42	$5.74D+22$	$2.52D-08$	$6.18D+07$	$6.43D+12$
32	60	$3.95D+37$	$1.93D-10$	$1.72D+08$	$5.79D+13$
36	86	$1.46D+61$	$1.02D-12$	$2.38D+08$	$3.10D+14$
40	124	$5.66D+98$	$3.00D-15$	$1.44D+08$	$1.42D+15$
44	179	$5.17+157$	$9.37D-18$	$7.85D+07$	$1.27D+16$
48	259	$1.24+250$	$2.65D-17$	$6.87D+07$	$4.18D+17$

Table 5.11b: Numerical results for Example 5.11 [$a_n = \sqrt{n!}e^{-\sqrt{n}} - \sqrt{(n-1)!}e^{-\sqrt{n-1}}$], where the R_l are chosen using GPS with $\tau = 1.1$. Note that $S = -1$.

Example 5.12. *Let* $a_n = (-1)^n(\sqrt{n!}e^{-\sqrt{n}} + \sqrt{(n-1)!}e^{-\sqrt{n-1}})$, $n = 1, 2, \ldots$. *The series* $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ *diverges with apparent antilimit* $S = -1$. *Table 5.12 contains results obtained by choosing* $R_l = l + 1$, $l = 0, 1, \ldots$. *Note that* $\Gamma_n^{(0)} = 1$ *in accordance with* (3.13).

n	R_n	$\lvert A_{R_n} - S\rvert$	$\lvert A_n^{(0)} - S\rvert$	$\Gamma_n^{(0)}$	$\Lambda_n^{(0)}$
0	1	$3.68D-01$	$3.68D-01$	$1.00D+00$	$1.37D+00$
4	5	$1.17D+00$	$9.49D-04$	$1.00D+00$	$9.99D-01$
8	9	$3.00D+01$	$6.69D-07$	$1.00D+00$	$2.50D+00$
12	13	$2.14D+03$	$1.81D-10$	$1.00D+00$	$1.96D+01$
16	17	$3.05D+05$	$2.79D-14$	$1.00D+00$	$2.37D+02$
20	21	$7.31D+07$	$2.87D-18$	$1.00D+00$	$3.76D+03$
24	25	$2.65D+10$	$2.16D-22$	$1.00D+00$	$7.38D+04$
28	29	$1.36D+13$	$1.25D-26$	$1.00D+00$	$1.72D+06$
32	33	$9.43D+15$	$1.95D-28$	$1.00D+00$	$4.64D+07$
36	37	$8.47D+18$	$2.77D-27$	$1.00D+00$	$1.42D+09$
40	41	$9.58D+21$	$2.54D-24$	$1.00D+00$	$4.82D+10$

Table 5.12: Numerical results for Example 5.12 [$a_n = (-1)^n(\sqrt{n!}e^{-\sqrt{n}} + \sqrt{(n-1)!}e^{-\sqrt{n-1}})$], using $R_l = l + 1$, $l = 0, 1, \ldots$. Note that $S = -1$.

Example 5.13. *Let* $a_n = (-1)^n\sqrt{n!}e^{-\sqrt{n}}$, $n = 1, 2, \ldots$. *The series* $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ *diverges, possibly with an antilimit* S *that is not known. Table 5.13 contains results obtained by choosing* $R_l = l + 1$, $l = 0, 1, \ldots$. *Note that* $\Gamma_n^{(0)} = 1$ *in accordance with* (3.13).

n	R_n	A_{R_n}	$A_n^{(0)}$	$\Gamma_n^{(0)}$	$\Lambda_n^{(0)}$
0	1	$-3.68D-01$	$-3.67879441171442321595523770161460873D-01$	$1.00D+00$	$3.68D-01$
4	5	$-9.65D-01$	$-2.05445994186455599969353796265963566D-01$	$1.00D+00$	$3.18D-01$
8	9	$-2.18D+01$	$-2.05408671703611238491707363340804563D-01$	$1.00D+00$	$1.55D+00$
12	13	$-1.63D+03$	$-2.05408680194850300292719482233526514D-01$	$1.00D+00$	$1.37D+01$
16	17	$-2.40D+05$	$-2.05408680199979555770776037771120370D-01$	$1.00D+00$	$1.71D+02$
20	21	$-5.88D+07$	$-2.05408680199983779970682276964493250D-01$	$1.00D+00$	$2.80D+03$
24	25	$-2.17D+10$	$-2.05408680199983784675881316821330617D-01$	$1.00D+00$	$5.62D+04$
28	29	$-1.13D+13$	$-2.05408680199983784682423139886832961D-01$	$1.00D+00$	$1.33D+06$
32	33	$-7.93D+15$	$-2.05408680199983784682433513602872466D-01$	$1.00D+00$	$3.64D+07$

Table 5.13: Numerical results for Example 5.13 $[a_n = (-1)^n\sqrt{n!}e^{-\sqrt{n}}]$, using $R_l = l+1$, $l = 0, 1, \ldots$. Note that the antilimit is not known.

Example 5.14. *Let* $a_n = n^{\sqrt{3}}/(1+\sqrt{n})$, $n = 1, 2, \ldots$. *The series* $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ *diverges with an antilimit* S *that is not known. Table 5.14a contains results obtained by choosing* $R_l = l+1$, $l = 0, 1, \ldots$. *In Table 5.14b we present results obtained by choosing the* R_l *using GPS with* $\tau = 1.3$. *Note that* $a_n = u(n) \in \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_0^{(\sqrt{3}-1/2,2)}$ *and satisfies Theorem 2.2 with* $c = 0$ *and* b *the antilimit in* (2.2), *thus* $\{a_n\} \in \tilde{\mathbf{b}}^{(2)}$ *by part 1 of Theorem 2.5.*

n	R_n	A_{R_n}	$A_n^{(0)}$	$\Gamma_n^{(0)}$	$\Lambda_n^{(0)}$
0	1	$5.00D-01$	$5.000000000000000000000000000000000000D-01$	$1.00D+00$	$5.00D-01$
4	5	$1.30D+01$	$1.369532499478970072060168025765826 50D-02$	$1.05D+02$	$4.99D+02$
8	9	$4.83D+01$	$2.14934104105471257196163245799915443D+01$	$5.87D+09$	$1.24D+11$
12	13	$1.11D+02$	$-6.36685109382204842916350986273167363D+00$	$1.48D+13$	$7.52D+14$
16	17	$2.05D+02$	$-6.33514738456051770232899035920678815D+00$	$9.82D+16$	$9.45D+18$
20	21	$3.31D+02$	$-6.33490882742039134712027408229034021D+00$	$4.83D+20$	$7.64D+22$
24	25	$4.93D+02$	$-6.33489970412111983179650131962681705D+00$	$1.91D+24$	$4.55D+26$
28	29	$6.93D+02$	$-6.33485606274876151464675840216981192D+00$	$6.50D+27$	$2.19D+30$
32	33	$9.31D+02$	$-6.23505348202801983168713408136424401D+00$	$1.98D+31$	$9.00D+33$

Table 5.14a: Numerical results for Example 5.14 $[a_n = n^{\sqrt{3}}/(1+\sqrt{n})]$, using $R_l = l+1$, $l = 0, 1, \ldots$. Note that the antilimit is not known.

n	R_n	A_{R_n}	$A_n^{(0)}$	$\Gamma_n^{(0)}$	$\Lambda_n^{(0)}$
0	1	$5.00D-01$	$5.000000000000000000000000000000000000D-01$	$1.00D+00$	$5.00D-01$
4	5	$1.30D+01$	$1.369532499478970072060168025765826 50D-02$	$1.05D+02$	$4.99D+02$
8	11	$7.60D+01$	$2.83889899046696906432360234712697271D+01$	$3.39D+09$	$5.96D+10$
12	29	$6.93D+02$	$-6.35474899562491078540752450507974049D+00$	$7.70D+10$	$3.14D+12$
16	80	$7.04D+03$	$-6.33492046280468404096402239913451429D+00$	$6.28D+11$	$1.26D+14$
20	227	$7.53D+04$	$-6.33489959483428057932844060172892160D+00$	$1.50D+12$	$2.25D+15$
24	646	$8.00D+05$	$-6.33489961177427644581715415787437553D+00$	$1.99D+12$	$3.00D+16$
28	1842	$8.45D+06$	$-6.33489961177942835930816940226182462D+00$	$2.32D+12$	$3.74D+17$
32	5258	$8.89D+07$	$-6.33489961177942862235369239450355880D+00$	$2.57D+12$	$4.30D+18$

Table 5.14b: Numerical results for Example 5.14 $[a_n = n^{\sqrt{3}}/(1+\sqrt{n})]$, where the R_l are chosen using GPS with $\tau = 1.3$. Note that the antilimit is not known.

6 Application to computation of infinite products

The machinery of the class $\tilde{\mathbf{b}}^{(m)}$ and the $\tilde{d}^{(m)}$ transformation treated above can also be used to accelerate the convergence of some infinite products, as discussed in [17, Section 25.11]. Here we will deal only with convergent infinite products[5] of the form

$$S = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1+v_n), \quad v_n = w(n) \in \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_0^{(-t/m,m)} \ \text{ strictly}, \quad t \geq m+1 \ \text{ integer}. \tag{6.1}$$

Recall that the infinite product converges if and only if $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} v_k$ converges, which implies that $t/m > 1$, which in turn implies that $t \geq m+1$ since t is an integer.

Let us define

$$A_0 = 0; \quad A_n = \prod_{k=1}^{n}(1+v_k), \quad n = 1,2,\ldots; \quad a_n \equiv A_n - A_{n-1}, \quad n = 1,2,\ldots \ . \tag{6.2}$$

Then

$$A_n = \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k, \quad n = 1,2,\ldots, \quad \text{and} \quad S = \lim_{n\to\infty} A_n. \tag{6.3}$$

Now,

$$A_n = (1+v_n)A_{n-1}, \quad n = 2,3,\ldots \ .$$

Therefore,

$$a_n \equiv A_n - A_{n-1} = v_n A_{n-1} \quad \Rightarrow \quad A_{n-1} = \frac{a_n}{v_n}, \quad n = 2,3,\ldots \ . \tag{6.4}$$

Applying Δ to both sides of (6.4), we obtain

$$a_n = \Delta A_{n-1} = \Delta(a_n/v_n) \quad \Rightarrow \quad a_n = a_{n+1}/v_{n+1} - a_n/v_n, \tag{6.5}$$

which can be written as in

$$a_n = p(n)\Delta a_n; \quad p(n) = [v_{n+1} + (\Delta v_n)/v_n]^{-1}. \tag{6.6}$$

Now, since $v_n \in \tilde{\mathbf{A}}^{(-t/m,m)}$ strictly by (6.1), we also have $(\Delta v_n)/v_n \in \tilde{\mathbf{A}}^{(-1,m)}$ strictly. In addition, $-t/m < -1$. Therefore, $1/p(n) \in \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_0^{(-1,m)}$ strictly, implying that $p(n) \in \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_0^{(1,m)}$ strictly. This means that $\{a_n\} \in \tilde{\mathbf{b}}^{(m)}$ by Definition 2.3. Consequently, the $\tilde{d}^{(m)}$ transformation can be applied to the sequence $\{A_n\}$, hence to the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$, successfully.

Let us now investigate the asymptotic nature of a_n in more detail. We will be applying Theorem 2.4 for this purpose. From (6.5), we have, in addition to (6.6),

$$a_{n+1} = c(n)a_n; \quad c(n) = (1+1/v_n)v_{n+1}, \tag{6.7}$$

which, making use of the fact that $v_{n+1} = v_n + \Delta v_n$, we can also write as

$$c(n) = 1 + \Delta v_n/v_n + v_n + \Delta v_n. \tag{6.8}$$

[5]Recall that the infinite product $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n$ is convergent if $\lim_{n\to\infty}\prod_{k=1}^{n} f_k$ exists and is finite and nonzero.

Again, since $v_n \in \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_0^{(-t/m,m)}$ strictly by (6.1), we have also $\Delta v_n \in \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_0^{(-1-t/m,m)}$ strictly, as a result of which, we conclude that $\Delta v_n/v_n \in \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_0^{(-1,m)}$ strictly. In addition, since $v_n \sim en^{-t/m}$ as $n \to \infty$, for some constant $e \neq 0$, we have $\Delta v_n \sim e(-t/m)n^{-1-t/m}$ as $n \to \infty$, and, therefore, $\Delta v_n/v_n \sim (-t/m)n^{-1}$ as $n \to \infty$. Invoking also the fact that $t \geq m+1$, we finally have that

$$c(n) = 1 - \frac{t}{m}n^{-1} + O(n^{-1-1/m}) \quad \text{as } n \to \infty. \tag{6.9}$$

Thus Theorem 2.4 holds with $c_0 = 1$ and $c_1 = \cdots = c_{m-1} = 0$ and $c_m = -t/m$. In addition, (2.8) in Theorem 2.4 gives $\epsilon_1 = \cdots = \epsilon_{m-1} = 0$ and $\epsilon_m = -t/m$. Substituting all these in (2.7), we obtain $\mu = 0$, $\theta_0 = \theta_1 = \cdots = \theta_{m-1} = 0$, which implies $Q(n) \equiv 0$, and $\gamma = -t/m$. As a result, (2.4) gives $a_n = h(n) \in \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_0^{(-t/m,m)}$ strictly.

By part 1 of Theorem 2.5, $\{A_n\}$ satisfies (3.1) with $\sigma = 1$, and the $\tilde{d}^{(m)}$ transformation applies with $A_n = \prod_{k=1}^{n}(1+v_k)$ and $a_n = A_n - A_{n-1}$ and $\hat{\sigma} = \sigma = 1$ in (3.5). Therefore, by (2.9) in Theorem 2.6, we have

$$A_n - S \sim \alpha n^{1-t/m} \quad \text{as } n \to \infty, \quad \text{for some } \alpha \neq 0. \tag{6.10}$$

This shows a very slow convergence rate for the sequence $\{A_n\}$ of the partial products in the case being considered.

Before closing, we mention that acceleration of the convergence of infinite products $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1+v_n)$ with $\{v_n\} \in \tilde{\mathbf{b}}^{(1)} = \mathbf{b}^{(1)}$ was first considered by Cohen and Levin [5], who use a method that is in the spirit of the d transformation.

7 Numerical examples II

We have applied the $\tilde{d}^{(m)}$ transformation to infinite products $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1+v_n)$ with $v_n = w(n) \in \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_0^{(-t/m,m)}$ for various values of $m \geq 1$ and verified that it is an effective convergence accelerator. We discuss one example with $m = 1$, for which S is known, and one example with $m = 2$, for which S is not known.

Example 7.1. *Let $v_n = -z^2/n^2$, $n = 1, 2, \ldots$. Therefore, $m = 1$. It is known that $\frac{\sin \pi z}{\pi z} = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1 - \frac{z^2}{n^2})$. Here we show the numerical results obtained by letting $z = 1/2$, for which we have*

$$S = \frac{2}{\pi} = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(1 - \frac{1}{4n^2}\right).$$

Table 7.1a contains results obtained by choosing $R_l = l + 1$, $l = 0, 1, \ldots$. In Table 7.1b we present results obtained by choosing the R_l using GPS with $\tau = 1.3$.

n	R_n	$\lvert A_{R_n} - S\rvert/\lvert S\rvert$	$\lvert A_n^{(0)} - S\rvert/\lvert S\rvert$	$\Gamma_n^{(0)}$	$\Lambda_n^{(0)}$
0	1	$1.78D-01$	$1.78D-01$	$1.00D+00$	$1.18D+00$
4	5	$4.64D-02$	$6.53D-03$	$8.81D+01$	$9.33D+01$
8	9	$2.67D-02$	$3.75D-06$	$1.11D+04$	$1.14D+04$
12	13	$1.87D-02$	$3.16D-10$	$1.56D+06$	$1.60D+06$
16	17	$1.44D-02$	$7.34D-15$	$2.29D+08$	$2.33D+08$
20	21	$1.17D-02$	$6.56D-20$	$3.44D+10$	$3.49D+10$
24	25	$9.85D-03$	$1.95D-21$	$5.26D+12$	$5.33D+12$
28	29	$8.51D-03$	$4.89D-19$	$8.15D+14$	$8.24D+14$
32	33	$7.49D-03$	$6.42D-17$	$1.27D+17$	$1.29D+17$

Table 7.1a: Numerical results for Example 5.5 using $R_l = l + 1$, $l = 0, 1, \ldots$. Note that $S = \pi/2$.

n	R_n	$\lvert A_{R_n} - S\rvert/\lvert S\rvert$	$\lvert A_n^{(0)} - S\rvert/\lvert S\rvert$	$\Gamma_n^{(0)}$	$\Lambda_n^{(0)}$
0	1	$1.78D-01$	$1.78D-01$	$1.00D+00$	$1.18D+00$
4	5	$4.64D-02$	$6.53D-03$	$8.81D+01$	$9.33D+01$
8	11	$2.20D-02$	$2.62D-06$	$2.92D+03$	$3.02D+03$
12	29	$8.51D-03$	$1.93D-11$	$3.30D+03$	$3.36D+03$
16	80	$3.11D-03$	$2.41D-18$	$2.74D+03$	$2.76D+03$
20	227	$1.10D-03$	$9.18D-27$	$2.09D+03$	$2.09D+03$
24	646	$3.87D-04$	$2.22D-30$	$1.90D+03$	$1.90D+03$
28	1842	$1.36D-04$	$2.38D-30$	$1.82D+03$	$1.82D+03$
32	5258	$4.75D-05$	$1.81D-29$	$1.78D+03$	$1.78D+03$

Table 7.1b: Numerical results for Example 7.1, where the R_l are chosen using GPS with $\tau = 1.3$. Note that $S = \pi/2$.

Example 7.2. *Let $v_n = n^{-3/2}$, $n = 1, 2, \ldots$. Therefore, $m = 2$. In this case, S is not known. Table 7.2a contains results obtained by choosing $R_l = l + 1$, $l = 0, 1, \ldots$. In Table 7.2b we present results obtained by choosing the R_l using GPS with $\tau = 1.3$.*

n	R_n	A_{R_n}	$A_n^{(0)}$	$\Gamma_n^{(0)}$	$\Lambda_n^{(0)}$
0	1	$2.00D+00$	$2.0000000000000000000000000000000000D+00$	$1.00D+00$	$2.00D+00$
4	5	$3.96D+00$	$1.3547994292036292790975656080287696 7D+00$	$2.68D+02$	$9.27D+02$
8	9	$4.82D+00$	$-1.5704211616062262262241174635294480 1D+01$	$6.43D+06$	$2.80D+07$
12	13	$5.35D+00$	$9.5302299900273227016798633411803898 6D+00$	$3.05D+09$	$1.50D+10$
16	17	$5.71D+00$	$9.2051711919817366241056457033051223 5D+00$	$3.61D+12$	$1.91D+13$
20	21	$5.98D+00$	$9.2009327146962348462689360423062334 2D+00$	$4.70D+15$	$2.62D+16$	
24	25	$6.19D+00$	$9.2009013574493491752237302210056129 8D+00$	$6.32D+18$	$3.68D+19$
28	29	$6.37D+00$	$9.2009012136195083277987798549159549 2D+00$	$8.69D+21$	$5.22D+22$
32	33	$6.51D+00$	$9.2009012664812557050378437834697609 1D+00$	$1.21D+25$	$7.48D+25$

Table 7.2a: Numerical results for Example 7.2 using $R_l = l + 1$, $l = 0, 1, \ldots$. Note that the limit S is not known.

n	R_n	A_{R_n}	$A_n^{(0)}$	$\Gamma_n^{(0)}$	$\Lambda_n^{(0)}$
0	1	$2.00D+00$	$2.0000000000000000000000000000000000D+00$	$1.00D+00$	$2.00D+00$
4	5	$3.96D+00$	$1.3547994292036292790975656080287696 7D+00$	$2.68D+02$	$9.27D+02$
8	11	$5.11D+00$	$-6.3352582341043778419510605177368758 5D+01$	$7.84D+06$	$3.33D+07$
12	29	$6.37D+00$	$9.2553146766575258869717683242793642 0D+00$	$6.64D+06$	$3.28D+07$
16	80	$7.36D+00$	$9.2009340485474629020684560443563964 1D+00$	$1.23D+07$	$7.46D+07$
20	227	$8.06D+00$	$9.2009012151172076064583207566774610 1D+00$	$1.35D+07$	$9.54D+07$
24	646	$8.50D+00$	$9.2009012131593536616148214381930366 2D+00$	$1.37D+07$	$1.08D+08$
28	1842	$8.78D+00$	$9.2009012131593411711657019090821365 2D+00$	$1.42D+07$	$1.19D+08$
32	5258	$8.95D+00$	$9.2009012131593411711567268250523104 5D+00$	$1.45D+07$	$1.26D+08$

Table 7.2b: Numerical results for Example 7.2, where the R_l are chosen using GPS with $\tau = 1.3$. Note that the limit S is not known.

References

[1] A.C. Aitken. On Bernoulli's numerical solution of algebraic equations. *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh*, 46:289–305, 1926.

[2] G.D. Birkhoff. Formal theory of irregular difference equations. *Acta Math.*, 54:205–246, 1930.

[3] G.D. Birkhoff and W.J. Trjitzinsky. Analytic theory of singular difference equations. *Acta Math.*, 60:1–89, 1932.

[4] C. Brezinski. Généralisations de la transformation de Shanks, de la table de Padé, et de l'ϵ-algorithme. *Calcolo*, 12:317–360, 1975.

[5] A.M. Cohen and D. Levin. Accelerating infinite products. *Numer. Algorithms*, 22:157–165, 1999.

[6] J.E. Drummond. Summing a common type of slowly convergent series of positive terms. *J. Austral. Math. Soc.*, Series B, 19:416–421, 1976.

[7] W.F. Ford and A. Sidi. An algorithm for a generalization of the Richardson extrapolation process. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, 24:1212–1232, 1987.

[8] D. Levin. Development of non-linear transformations for improving convergence of sequences. *Intern. J. Computer Math.*, B3:371–388, 1973.

[9] D. Levin and A. Sidi. Two new classes of nonlinear transformations for accelerating the convergence of infinite integrals and series. *Appl. Math. Comp.*, 9:175–215, 1981. Originally appeared as a Tel Aviv University preprint in 1975.

[10] S. Lubkin. A method of summing infinite series. *J. Res. Nat. Bur. Standards*, 48:228–254, 1952.

[11] P. Sablonnière. Asymptotic behaviour of iterated modified Δ^2 and θ_2 transforms on some slowly convergent sequences. *Numer. Algorithms*, 3:401–410, 1992.

[12] A. Sidi. Some properties of a generalization of the Richardson extrapolation process. *J. Inst. Maths. Applics.*, 24:327–346, 1979.

[13] A. Sidi. An algorithm for a special case of a generalization of the Richardson extrapolation process. *Numer. Math.*, 38:299–307, 1982.

[14] A. Sidi. Convergence analysis for a generalized Richardson extrapolation process with an application to the $d^{(1)}$-transformation on convergent and divergent logarithmic sequences. *Math. Comp.*, 64:1627–1657, 1995.

[15] A. Sidi. Further convergence and stability results for the generalized Richardson extrapolation process GREP$^{(1)}$ with an application to the $D^{(1)}$-transformation for infinite integrals. *J. Comp. Appl. Math.*, 112:269–290, 1999.

[16] A. Sidi. New convergence results on the generalized Richardson extrapolation process GREP$^{(1)}$ for logarithmic sequences. *Math. Comp.*, 71:1569–1596, 2002.

[17] A. Sidi. *Practical Extrapolation Methods: Theory and Applications*. Number 10 in Cambridge Monographs on Applied and Computational Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003.

[18] A. Sidi. A user-friendly extrapolation method for computing infinite-range integrals of products of oscillatory functions. *IMA J. Numer. Anal.*, 32:602–631, 2012.

[19] J. Stoer and R. Bulirsch. *Introduction to Numerical Analysis*. Springer-Verlag, New York, third edition, 2002.

[20] A.H. Van Tuyl. Application of methods for acceleration of convergence to the calculation of singularities of transonic flows. In *Padé Approximants Method and its Applications to Mechanics*, number 47 in Lecture Notes in Physics, pages 209–223, Berlin, 1976. Springer-Verlag.

[21] A.H. Van Tuyl. Acceleration of convergence of a family of logarithmically convergent sequences. *Math. Comp.*, 63:229–246, 1994.

[22] J. Wimp. The summation of series whose terms have asymptotic representations. *J. Approx. Theory*, 10:185–198, 1974.

[23] J. Wimp. *Sequence Transformations and Their Applications*. Academic Press, New York, 1981.

[24] P. Wynn. On a procrustean technique for the numerical transformation of slowly convergent sequences and series. *Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc.*, 52:663–671, 1956.